arXiv:1502.06714v1 [math.RT] 24 Feb 2015

MONOIDAL CATEGORIFICATION OF CLUSTER ALGEBRAS II

SEOK-JIN KANG[1], MASAKI KASHIWARA[2], MYUNGHO KIM, SE-JIN OH[3]

Abstract. We prove that the quantum unipotent coordinate algebra $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ associated with a symmetric Kac-Moody algebra and its Weyl group element w has a monoidal categorification as a quantum cluster algebra. As an application of our earlier work, we achieve it by showing the existence of a quantum monoidal seed of $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ which admits the first-step mutations in all the directions. As a consequence, we solve the conjecture that any cluster monomial is a member of the upper global basis up to a power of $q^{1/2}$.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Preliminaries 5
1.1. The quantum enveloping algebras and their integrable modules 5
1.2. Crystal bases and global bases 7
2. Quantum coordinate rings and modified quantized enveloping algebras 9
2.1. Quantum coordinate ring 9
2.2. Unipotent quantum coordinate ring 13
2.3. Modified quantum enveloping algebra 15
2.4. Relationship of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ 18
2.5. Relationship of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ 18
3. Quantum minors and T-systems 19
3.1. Quantum minors 20
3.2. T-systems 23
3.3. Revisit of crystal bases and global bases 24
3.4. Generalized T-systems 27
4. KLR algebras and their modules 28
4.1. KLR algebras and R-matrices 28
4.2. Properties of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(M,N)$ and $\mathfrak{d}(M,N)$ 31

Date: February 23, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F60, 81R50, 17B37.

Key words and phrases. Cluster algebra, Quantum cluster algebra, Monoidal categorification, Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra.

[1] This work was supported by NRF grant # 2014021261 and NRF grant # 2013055408 .

[2] This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22340005, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

[3] This work was supported by BK21 PLUS SNU Mathematical Sciences Division.

4.3. Chevalley and Kashiwara operators 33
4.4. Determinantial modules and T-systems 38
4.5. Generalized T-system on determinantial modules. 39
5. Quantum cluster algebras and monoidal categorifications 41
5.1. Quantum cluster algebras 41
5.2. Monoidal categorifications of quantum cluster algebras 43
5.3. Main result of [10] 44
6. Monoidal categorification of $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ 45
6.1. Quantum cluster algebra structure on $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ 45
6.2. Admissible seeds in the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}_w$ 46
References 50

INTRODUCTION

The quantum unipotent coordinate ring $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$, which is isomorphic to the negative half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ of a quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, has very interesting bases so called *upper global basis* and *lower global basis* ([11]). In particular, the upper global basis $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ has been studied emphasizing on its multiplicative structure. For example, Berenstein and Zelevinsky ([1]) conjectured that, in the case $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n, the product b_1b_2 of two elements b_1 and b_2 in $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ is again an element of $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ up to a multiple of a power of q if and only if they are q-commuting; i.e., $b_1b_2 = q^m b_2b_1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This conjecture turned out to be not true in general, because Leclerc ([23]) found examples of an *imaginary* element $b \in \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ such that b^2 does not belong to $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$. Nevertheless, the idea of considering subsets of $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ whose elements are q-commuting with each other and studying the relations between those subsets has survived and becomes one of the motivations of (*quantum*) *cluster algebras* ([4]).

A cluster algebra is a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of a rational function field given by a set of generators, called the *cluster variables.* They are grouped into overlapping subsets, called *clusters* and there is a procedure called *mutation* which produces new clusters successively from a given *initial* cluster. A quantum cluster algebra is a non-commutative q-deformation of a cluster algebra, and a *quantum cluster* is a family of mutually q-commuting elements of it ([2]). There are many examples of algebras which turned out to be (quantum) cluster algebras. In particular, Geiß, Leclerc and Schröer showed that the quantum unipotent coordinate algebra $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ has a quantum cluster algebra structure ([6]). Here $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ is a subalgebra of the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra $A_q(\mathfrak{n}) \simeq U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, which is associated with a symmetric Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its Weyl group element w,

It is shown by Kimura [18] that $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}} \cap A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ is a basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. Then, in terms of quantum cluster algebras, Berenstein-Zelevinsky's ideas can be generalized and reformulated in the following form:

Conjecture 1 ([6, Conjecture 12.9], [18, Conjecture 1.1(2)])**.** *When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of symmetric type, every quantum cluster monomial in $A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w)) := \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ belongs to the upper global basis up to a power of $q^{1/2}$.*

There are some partial results of this conjecture. It is proved for $\mathfrak{g} = A_2, A_3, A_4$ and $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w)) = A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ in [1] and [5, Section 12], for $\mathfrak{g} = A_1^{(1)}$, A_n and w is a square of a Coxeter element in [21] and [22], when $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric and w is a square of a Coxeter element in [19].

In this paper, we prove the above conjecture completely by showing that there exists a *monoidal categorification of* $A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ along the lines of our previous work [10]. Note that Nakajima proposed a geometric approach of this conjecture via quiver varieties ([27]).

Let us briefly recall the notion of monoidal categorifications of quantum cluster algebras. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian monoidal category equipped with an auto-equivalence q and a tensor product which is compatible with a decomposition $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}} \mathcal{C}_\beta$. Fix a finite index set $J = J_{\rm ex} \sqcup J_{\rm fr}$ with a decomposition into the exchangeable part and the frozen part. Let $\mathscr{S}$ be a quadruple $(\{M_i\}_{i \in J}, L, \widetilde{B}, D)$ of a family of simple objects $\{M_i\}_{i\in J}$ in $\mathscr{C}$, an integer-valued skew-symmetric $J \times J$-matrix $L = (\lambda_{i,j})$, an integer-valued $J \times J_{\rm ex}$-matrix $\widetilde{B} = (b_{i,j})$ with skew-symmetric principal part, and a family of elements $D = \{d_i\}_{i \in J}$ in Q. If those data satisfy the conditions in Definition 5.2 below, then we call it a *quantum monoidal seed* in $\mathcal{C}$. For each $k \in J_{\rm ex}$, we have mutations $\mu_k(L), \mu_k(\widetilde{B})$ and $\mu_k(D)$ of L, B and D, respectively. We say that a quantum monoidal seed $\mathscr{S} = (\{M_i\}_{i\in J}, L, \widetilde{B}, D)$ *admits a mutation in direction* $k \in J_{\rm ex}$, if there exists a simple object $M_k' \in \mathcal{C}_{\mu_k(D)_k}$ which fits into two short exact sequences (0.1) below in $\mathcal{C}$ *reflecting* the mutation rule in quantum cluster algebras, and thus obtained quadruple $\mu_k(\mathscr{S}) := (\{M_i\}_{i \neq k} \cup \{M_k'\}, \mu_k(L), \mu_k(\widetilde{B}), \mu_k(D))$ is again a quantum monoidal seed in $\mathcal{C}$. We call $\mu_k(\mathscr{S})$ the mutation of $\mathscr{S}$ in direction $k \in J_{\rm ex}$.

Now the category $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *monoidal categorification of a quantum cluster algebra* A *over* $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$ if (i) the Grothendieck ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} K(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to A, (ii) there exists a quantum monoidal seed $\mathscr{S} = (\{M_i\}_{i\in J}, L, \widetilde{B}, D)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $[\mathscr{S}] := (\{q^{m_i}[M_i]\}_{i \in J}, L, \widetilde{B})$ is a quantum seed of A for some $m_i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and (iii) $\mathscr{S}$ admits successive mutations in all directions in $J_{\rm ex}$. Note that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal categorification of A, all the quantum cluster monomials in A are the classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{C}$ up to a power of $q^{1/2}$.

In the case of quantum unipotent coordinate ring $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$, there is a natural candidate for monoidal categorification, the category of finite-dimensional graded modules over a *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras* ([16, 17], [28]). The Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras (abbreviated by KLR algebras) are a family of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras $\{R(\beta)\}_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+}$ such that the Grothendieck ring of R-gmod$:=\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathsf{Q}^+} R(\beta)$-gmod, the direct sum of the categories of finite-dimensional graded $R(\beta)$-modules, is isomorphic to the integral form $A_q(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$. Here Q^+ denotes the positive root lattice of the corresponding symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The multiplication of $K(R\text{-gmod})$ is given by the *convolution product* of modules, and the action of q is given by the grading shift functor. In [30, 29], Varagnolo–Vasserot and Rouquier proved that the upper global basis $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ corresponds to the set of the classes of all *self-dual* simple modules of R-gmod under the assumption that R is a symmetric KLR algebra with the base field of characteristic 0. For each Weyl group element w, let $\mathcal{C}_w$ be the full subcategory of R-gmod consisting of objects M such that $[M]$ belongs to $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. Then, $\mathcal{C}_w$ is an abelian monoidal category whose Grothendieck ring is isomorphic to $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ and its simple objects correspond to the basis $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}} \cap A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. In particular, Conjecture 1 is equivalent to saying that when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of symmetric type, every quantum cluster monomial in $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]} K(\mathcal{C}_w)$ belongs to the class of self-dual simple modules up to a power of $q^{1/2}$.

In [10], to simplify the conditions of quantum monoidal seeds and their mutations, we introduce the notion of *admissible pairs* in $\mathcal{C}_w$. A pair $(\{M_i\}_{i\in J}, \widetilde{B})$ is called admissible in $\mathcal{C}_w$ if (i) $\{M_i\}_{i\in J}$ is a commuting family of self-dual real simple objects of $\mathcal{C}_w$, (ii) $\widetilde{B}$ is an integer-valued $J \times J_{\mathrm{ex}}$-matrix with skew-symmetric principal part, and (iii) for each $k \in J$, there exists a self-dual simple object M'_k in $\mathcal{C}_w$ such that M'_k commutes with M_i for all $i \in J \setminus \{k\}$ and there are exact sequences in $\mathcal{C}_w$

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 \to q \bigodot_{b_{i,k}>0} M_i^{\odot b_{i,k}} \to q^{\widetilde{\Lambda}(M_k,M'_k)} M_k \circ M'_k \to \bigodot_{b_{i,k}<0} M_i^{\odot(-b_{i,k})} \to 0 \\
&0 \to q \bigodot_{b_{i,k}<0} M_i^{\odot(-b_{i,k})} \to q^{\widetilde{\Lambda}(M'_k,M_k)} M'_k \circ M_k \to \bigodot_{b_{i,k}>0} M_i^{\odot b_{i,k}} \to 0
\end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

where $\widetilde{\Lambda}(M_k, M'_k)$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}(M'_k, M_k)$ are prescribed integers and $\bigodot$ is a tensor product up to a power of q.

For an admissible pair $(\{M_i\}_{i\in J}, \widetilde{B})$, let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_{i,j})_{i,j\in J}$ be the skew-symmetric matrix where $\Lambda_{i,j}$ is the homogeneous degree of $\mathbf{r}_{M_i,M_j}$, the *r-matrix* between M_i and M_j, and let $D = \{d_i\}_{i\in J}$ be the family of elements in Q given by $M_i \in R(-d_i)$-gmod.

Then, together with the result of [6], the main theorem of [10] reads as follows:

Theorem 2 (Theorem 6.3 and Corollary 6.4 in [10])**.** *If there exists an admissible pair* $(\{M_i\}_{i\in K}, \widetilde{B})$ *in* $\mathcal{C}_w$ *such that* $[\mathscr{S}] := \big(\{q^{-(\mathrm{wt}(M_i),\mathrm{wt}(M_i))/4}[M_i]\}_{i\in J}, -\Lambda, \widetilde{B}, D\big)$ *is an initial seed of* $A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$*, then* $\mathcal{C}_w$ *is a monoidal categorification of* $A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$*.*

This paper is mainly devoted to show that there exists an admissible pair in $\mathcal{C}_w$ for every symmetric Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its Weyl group element w. In [6], Geiß, Leclerc and Schröer provided an initial quantum seed in $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ whose quantum cluster variables are *unipotent quantum minors*. The unipotent quantum minors are elements in $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$, which are a q-analogue of a generalization of the minors of upper triangular matrices. In particular, they are elements in $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$. We define the *determinantial module* $\mathsf{M}(\mu,\zeta)$ to be the simple module in R-gmod corresponding to the unipotent quantum minor $\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)$ under the isomorphism $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]} \simeq K(R\text{-gmod})$. Here (μ,ζ) is a pair of elements in the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying certain conditions.

Our main theorem is as follows.

Main Theorem 3. *Let* $(\{D(k,0)\}_{1\le k\le r}, \widetilde{B}, L)$ *be the initial quantum seed of* $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ *in* [6] *with respect to a reduced expression* $\widetilde{w} = s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_r}$ *of* w*. Let* $\mathsf{M}(k,0)$ *be the determinantial module corresponding to the unipotent quantum minor* $D(k,0)$*. Then the pair*

$$(\{\mathsf{M}(k,0)\}_{1\le k\le r}, \widetilde{B})$$

is admissible in $\mathcal{C}_w$*.*

The most essential condition for an admissible pair is that there exists the *first mutation* $\mathsf{M}(k,0)'$ in (0.1) for each $k\in J_{\mathrm{ex}}$. To obtain it, we investigate the properties of determinantial modules and those of their convolution products. Note that a unipotent quantum minor is the image of a global basis element of the *quantum coordinate ring* $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ under the projection $A_q(\mathfrak{g})\to A_q(\mathfrak{n})$. Since there exists a bicrystal embedding from the crystal basis $B(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to the crystal basis $B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ of the *modified quantum groups* $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, this investigation amounts to the study on the interplays among the crystal and global bases of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$, $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$.

This paper is organized as follows:

In Section 1, we review on quantum groups, their integrable modules, and crystal and global bases. In Section 2, we review the algebras $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$, $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$, and study relations among them. In Section 3, we study the properties of quantum minors including T-systems and generalized T-systems. In Section 4, we review and study further about the modules over Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras along the lines of [9, 10]. In Section 5, we review the quantum cluster algebras and their monoidal categorifications by symmetric KLR algebras. In the last section, we establish our main theorem.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Bernard Leclerc for many fruitful discussions. The last two authors gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University during their visits in 2014.

1. PRELIMINARIES

This is a continuation of [10], and we sometimes omit the definitions and notations employed there. We refer the reader to loc. cit. In this section, we briefly recall the crystal and global bases theory for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We refer to [11, 12, 15] for materials in this section.

1.1. **The quantum enveloping algebras and their integrable modules.**

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra. We denote by I the index set which parametrizes the set of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \mid i\in I\}$ and the set of simple coroots $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \mid i\in I\}$. We also denote by P the weight lattice, by $\mathsf{P}^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P,\mathbb{Z})$ the co-weight lattice, by $\mathsf{A} = \big(\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle\big)_{i,j\in I}$ the generalized Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$, by W the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$, and by $(\ ,\ )$ a W-invariant symmetric bilinear form on P. The free abelian group $\mathsf{Q} := \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$

is called the *root lattice*. Set $\mathsf{Q}^+ = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\alpha_i \subset \mathsf{Q}$ and $\mathsf{Q}^- = \sum_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}\alpha_i \subset \mathsf{Q}$. For $\beta = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\alpha_i \in \mathsf{Q}$, we set $|\beta| = \sum_{i\in I}|m_i|$.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantum enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra generated by e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ and q^h $(h \in \mathsf{P}^\vee)$ with certain defining relations (see e.g. [10, Definition 1.1]). We set $t_i = q^{\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}h_i}$. We denote by $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$) the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by f_i $(i \in I)$ (resp. e_i $(i \in I)$).

We define the divided powers by

$$e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n/[n]_i!, \quad f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n/[n]_i! \ \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}),$$

where $q_i = q^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2}$, $[n]_i = \dfrac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ and $[n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_i$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, $i \in I$. Let us denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}$ the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(n)}$, $f_i^{(n)}$ $(i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})$ and q^h $(h \in \mathsf{P}^\vee)$, by $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}$ the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-subalgebra of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $f_i^{(n)}$ $(i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})$, and by $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}$ the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-subalgebra of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(n)}$ $(i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})$.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\rm int}(\mathfrak{g})$ the category of integrable left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M satisfying the following conditions: (i) $M = \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathsf{P}} M_\eta$ where $M_\eta := \{m \in M \mid q^h m = q^{\langle h,\eta\rangle} m\}$, (ii) $\dim M_\eta < \infty$, and (iii) there exist finitely many weights $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(M) \subset \cup_j(\lambda_j + \mathsf{Q}^-)$. The category $\mathcal{O}_{\rm int}(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple with its simple objects being isomorphic to the highest weight modules $V(\lambda)$ with highest weight vector u_λ of highest weight $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$, the set of dominant integral weight.

Let us recall the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-antiautomorphism φ and * of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given as follows:

$$\varphi(e_i) = f_i, \quad \varphi(f_i) = e_i, \quad \varphi(q^h) = q^h, \tag{1.1}$$

$$e_i^* = e_i, \qquad f_i^* = f_i, \qquad (q^h)^* = q^{-h}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let us recall also the $\mathbb{Q}$-automorphism $^-$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$\overline{e}_i = e_i, \quad \overline{f}_i = f_i, \quad \overline{q}^h = q^{-h}, \quad \overline{q} = q^{-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

For $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\rm int}(\mathfrak{g})$, let us denote by $\mathbf{D}_\varphi M$ the left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathsf{P}} \mathrm{Hom}(M_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(q))$ with the action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by:

$$(a\psi)(m) = \psi(\varphi(a)m) \quad \text{for } \psi \in \mathbf{D}_\varphi M,\ m \in M \text{ and } a \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then $\mathbf{D}_\varphi M$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\rm int}(\mathfrak{g})$.

For a left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module M, we denote by $M^{\rm r}$ the right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\{m^{\rm r} \mid m \in M\}$ with the right action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$(m^{\rm r})\,x = (\varphi(x)m)^{\rm r} \text{ for } m \in M \text{ and } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}^{\rm r}_{\rm int}(\mathfrak{g})$ the category of right integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules $M^{\rm r}$ such that $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\rm int}(\mathfrak{g})$.

There are two comultiplications Δ_+ and Δ_- on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined as follows:

$$\Delta_+(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta_+(f_i) = f_i \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i, \quad \Delta_+(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\Delta_-(e_i) = e_i \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta_-(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes f_i, \quad \Delta_-(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h. \tag{1.5}$$

For two $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M_1 and M_2, let us denote by $M_1 \otimes_+ M_2$ and $M_1 \otimes_- M_2$ the vector space $M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M_2$ endowed with $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure induced by the comultiplications Δ_+ and Δ_-, respectively. Then we have

$$\mathbf{D}_\varphi(M_1 \otimes_\pm M_2) \simeq (\mathbf{D}_\varphi M_1) \otimes_\mp (\mathbf{D}_\varphi M_2).$$

The simple $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V(\lambda)$ and the $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))$ have a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ such that

$$(u_\lambda, u_\lambda) = 1 \text{ and } (xu, v) = (u, \varphi(x)v) \text{ for } u, v \in V(\lambda) \text{ and } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \tag{1.6}$$

$$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = 1 \text{ and } (xu, v) = (u, \varphi(x)v) \text{ for } u, v \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } x \in B_q(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{1.7}$$

Recall that $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quantum boson algebra generated by e_i' and f_i, and φ is the anti-automorphism of $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ sending e_i' to f_i and f_i to e_i'.

Note that $(\ ,\ )$ induces the non-degenerated bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon V(\lambda)^{\mathrm{r}} \otimes_{U_q(\mathfrak{g})} V(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$$

given by $\langle u^{\mathrm{r}}, v \rangle = (u, v)$, by which $\mathbf{D}_\varphi V(\lambda)$ is canonically isomorphic to $V(\lambda)$.

1.2. **Crystal bases and global bases.** For a subring A of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, we say that L is an A-*lattice* of a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space V if L is a free A-submodule of V such that $V = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_A L$.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{A}_0$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}_\infty$) the ring of rational functions in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ which are regular at $q = 0$ (resp. $q = \infty$). We set $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{A}_0 \cap \mathbf{A}_\infty = \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]$.

Let M be a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then any $u \in M$ can be uniquely written as

$$u = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_i^{(n)} u_n \quad \text{with } e_i u_n = 0.$$

We define the *lower Kashiwara operators* by

$$\tilde{e}_i^{\mathrm{low}}(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_i^{(n-1)} u_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{low}}(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_i^{(n+1)} u_n,$$

and the *upper Kashiwara operators* by

$$\tilde{e}_i^{\mathrm{up}}(u) = \tilde{e}_i^{\mathrm{low}} q_i^{-1} t_i u \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{up}}(u) = \tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{low}} q_i^{-1} t_i^{-1} u.$$

We say that an $\mathbf{A}_0$-lattice of M is a lower (resp. upper) crystal lattice of M if L is P-graded and invariant by the lower (resp. upper) Kashiwara operators.

Lemma 1.1. *Let L be a lower crystal lattice of $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we have*

(i) $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathsf{P}} q^{-(\lambda,\lambda)/2} L_\lambda$ *is an upper crystal lattice of M.*

(ii) $L^\vee := \{\psi \in \mathbf{D}_\varphi M \mid \langle \psi, L \rangle \in \mathbf{A}_0\}$ *is an upper crystal lattice of $\mathbf{D}_\varphi M$.*

Proof. (i) Let ϕ_M be the endomorphism of M given by $\phi_M|_{M_\lambda} = q^{-(\lambda,\lambda)/2}\mathrm{id}_{M_\lambda}$. Then we have $\tilde{e}_i^{\mathrm{up}} = \phi_M \circ \tilde{e}_i^{\mathrm{low}}\phi_M^{-1}$ and $\tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{up}} = \phi_M \circ \tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{low}}\phi_M^{-1}$.

(ii) follows from the fact that $\tilde{e}_i^{\mathrm{up}}$ and $\tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{up}}$ are the adjoint operators of $\tilde{f}_i^{\mathrm{low}}$ and $\tilde{e}_i^{\mathrm{low}}$, respectively. □

Definition 1.2. A *lower* (resp. *upper*) *crystal basis* of M consists of a pair (L, B) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) L is a lower (resp. upper) crystal lattice of M,
(ii) $B = \sqcup_\eta B_\eta$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space L/qL, where $B_\eta = B \cap (L_\eta/qL_\eta)$,
(iii) the induced maps $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ on L/qL satisfy

$$\tilde{e}_iB, \tilde{f}_iB \subset B \sqcup \{0\}, \text{ and } \tilde{f}_ib = b' \text{ if and only if } b = \tilde{e}_ib' \text{ for } b, b' \in B.$$

Here $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ denote the lower (resp. upper) Kashiwara operators.

It is shown in [11] that $V(\lambda)$ has *the lower crystal basis* $(L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda), B^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda))$. Using the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$, $V(\lambda)$ has *the upper crystal basis* $(L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda), B^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda))$ where

$$L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) := \{u \in V(\lambda) \mid (u, L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)) \subset \mathbf{A}_0\},$$

and $B^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) \subset L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)/qL^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)$ is the dual basis of $B^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)$ with respect to the induced non-degenerate pairing between $L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)/qL^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)$ and $L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)/qL^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)$. An (abstract) *crystal* is a set B together with maps

$$\mathrm{wt}\colon B \to \mathsf{P},\quad \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i\colon B \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{\infty\} \text{ and } \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i\colon B \to B \sqcup \{0\} \text{ for } i \in I,$$

such that

(C1) $\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(b)\rangle$ for any i,
(C2) if $b \in B$ satisfies $\tilde{e}_i(b) \neq 0$, then

$$\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_ib) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1,\ \varphi_i(\tilde{e}_ib) = \varphi_i(b) + 1,\ \mathrm{wt}(\tilde{e}_ib) = \mathrm{wt}(b) + \alpha_i,$$

(C3) if $b \in B$ satisfies $\tilde{f}_i(b) \neq 0$, then

$$\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_ib) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1,\ \varphi_i(\tilde{f}_ib) = \varphi_i(b) - 1,\ \mathrm{wt}(\tilde{f}_ib) = \mathrm{wt}(b) - \alpha_i,$$

(C4) for $b, b' \in B$, $b' = \tilde{f}_ib$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_ib'$,
(C5) if $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$, then $\tilde{e}_ib = \tilde{f}_ib = 0$.

Recall that, with the notions of *morphisms* and *tensor product rule* of crystals, the category of crystal becomes a monoidal category ([14]). If (L, B) is a crystal of M, then B is an abstract crystal. Since $B^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda) \simeq B^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)$, we drop the superscripts for simplicity.

Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space, and let L_0 be an $\mathbf{A}_0$-lattice of V, L_∞ an $\mathbf{A}_\infty$-lattice of V and $V_{\mathbf{A}}$ an $\mathbf{A}$-lattice of V. We say that the triple $(V_{\mathbf{A}}, L_0, L_\infty)$ is *balanced* if the following canonical map is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear isomorphism:

$$E := V_{\mathbf{A}} \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \longrightarrow L_0/qL_0.$$

The inverse of the above isomorphism $G\colon L_0/qL_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ is called the *globalizing map*. If $(V_{\mathbf{A}}, L_0, L_\infty)$ is balanced, then we have

$$\mathbb{Q}(q) \underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\otimes} E \xrightarrow{\sim} V,\ \mathbf{A} \underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\otimes} E \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathbf{A}},\ \mathbf{A}_0 \underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\otimes} E \xrightarrow{\sim} L_0 \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_\infty \underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\otimes} E \xrightarrow{\sim} L_\infty.$$

Hence, if B is a basis of L_0/qL_0, then $G(B)$ is a basis of V, $V_{\mathbf{A}}$, L_0 and L_∞. We call $G(B)$ a *global basis*.

We define the two $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-forms of $V(\lambda)$ by

$$V^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} := U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} u_\lambda \quad \text{and}$$
$$V^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} := \left\{ u \in V(\lambda) \mid \big(u, V^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}\big) \subset \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}] \right\}.$$

Then $\big(\mathbb{Q} \otimes V^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]},\ L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda),\ \overline{L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)}\big)$ and $\big(\mathbb{Q} \otimes V^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]},\ L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda),\ \overline{L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)}\big)$ are balanced. Let us denote by G^{low}_λ and G^{up}_λ the associated globalizing maps, respectively. Then the sets

$$\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda) := \{G^{\mathrm{low}}_\lambda(b) \mid b \in B^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) := \{G^{\mathrm{up}}_\lambda(b) \mid b \in B^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)\}$$

form $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]$-bases of $V^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$ and $V^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$, respectively. They are called the *lower global basis* and the *upper global basis* of $V(\lambda)$.

Similarly, there exists a crystal basis $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ of the simple $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $(\mathbb{Q} \otimes U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}, L(\infty), \overline{L(\infty)})$ is balanced. Let us denote the globalizing map by G^{low}. Then the set

$$\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})) := \{G^{\mathrm{low}}(b) \mid b \in B(\infty)\}$$

forms an $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]$-basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$ and is called the *lower global basis* of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let us denote by

$$\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})) := \{G^{\mathrm{up}}(b) \mid b \in B(\infty)\}$$

the dual basis of $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))$ with respect to $(\ ,\ )$. Then it is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]$-basis of

$$U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})^\vee_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} := \{x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (x, U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}) \subset \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]\}$$

and called the *upper global basis* of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

2. Quantum coordinate rings and modified quantized enveloping algebras

2.1. **Quantum coordinate ring.** Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ be $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{Q}(q))$. Then the comultiplication Δ_+ induces the multiplication μ on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ as follows:

$$\mu\colon U_q(\mathfrak{g})^* \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})^* \to (U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}))^* \xrightarrow{(\Delta_+)^*} U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*.$$

Later on, it will be convenient to use Sweedler's notation $\Delta_+(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$. With this notation,

$$\big(fg\big)(x) = f(x_{(1)})\, g(x_{(2)}) \quad \text{for } f, g \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})^* \text{ and } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$. Namely,

$$(x \cdot f)(v) = f(vx) \quad \text{and} \quad (f \cdot x)(v) = f(xv) \quad \text{for } f \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})^* \text{ and } x, v \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then the multiplication μ is a morphism of a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule, where $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^* \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ has the structure of a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule via Δ_+: for $f, g \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ and $x, y \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$x(fg)y = (x_{(1)} f y_{(1)})(x_{(2)} g y_{(2)}),$$

where $\Delta_+(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$ and $\Delta_+(y) = y_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}$.

Definition 2.1. We define the *quantum coordinate ring* $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows:

$$A_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \{u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})^* \mid \ U_q(\mathfrak{g})u \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } uU_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

Then, $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subring of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ because (i) μ is $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bilinear, (ii) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$ are closed under the tensor product.

We have the weight decomposition: $A_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\eta,\zeta \in \mathsf{P}} A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\eta,\zeta}$ where

$$A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\eta,\zeta} := \{\psi \in A_q(\mathfrak{g}) \mid q^{h_{\mathrm{l}}} \cdot \psi \cdot q^{h_{\mathrm{r}}} = q^{\langle h_{\mathrm{l}}, \eta\rangle + \langle h_{\mathrm{r}}, \zeta\rangle}\psi \text{ for } h_{\mathrm{l}}, h_{\mathrm{r}} \in \mathsf{P}^\vee\},$$

For $\psi \in A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\eta,\zeta}$, we write

$$\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{l}}(\psi) = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\psi) = \zeta.$$

For any $V \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$, we have the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bilinear homomorphism

$$\Phi_V \colon V \otimes (\mathbf{D}_\varphi V)^{\mathrm{r}} \to A_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

given by

$$\Phi_V(v \otimes \psi^{\mathrm{r}})(a) = \langle \psi^{\mathrm{r}}, av\rangle = \langle \psi^{\mathrm{r}} a, v\rangle \quad \text{for } v \in V,\ \psi \in \mathbf{D}_\varphi V \text{ and } a \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Proposition 2.2 ([12, Proposition 7.2.2])**.** *We have an isomorphism* Φ *of* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-*bimodules*

$$\Phi\colon \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+} V(\lambda) \underset{\mathbb{Q}(q)}{\otimes} V(\lambda)^{\mathrm{r}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_q(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{2.1}$$

given by $\Phi|_{V(\lambda)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} V(\lambda)^{\mathrm{r}}} = \Phi_\lambda := \Phi_{V(\lambda)}$: *Namely,*

$$\Phi(u \otimes v^{\mathrm{r}})(x) = \langle v^{\mathrm{r}}, xu\rangle = \langle v^{\mathrm{r}} x, u\rangle = (v, xu) \text{ for any } v, u \in V(\lambda) \text{ and } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We introduce the crystal basis $\big(L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g})), B(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))\big)$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as the images by Φ of

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+} L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) \otimes L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)^{\mathrm{r}} \text{ and } \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+} B(\lambda) \otimes B(\lambda)^{\mathrm{r}}.$$

Hence it is a crystal base with respect to the left action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and also the right action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We sometimes write by e_i^* and f_i^* the operators of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ obtained by the right actions of e_i and f_i.

We define the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-form of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]} := \left\{\psi \in A_q(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \langle \psi,\, U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]\right\}.$$

We define the bar-involution $-$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\overline{\psi}(x) = \overline{\psi(\overline{x})} \quad \text{for } \psi \in A_q(\mathfrak{g}),\ x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that the bar-involution is not a ring homomorphism but it satisfies

$$\overline{\psi\,\theta} = q^{(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi),\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\theta))-(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi),\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\theta))}\,\overline{\theta}\,\overline{\psi} \quad \text{for any } \psi,\,\theta \in A_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since we do not use this formula and it is proved similarly to Proposition 2.4 below, we omit its proof.

The triple $\big(\mathbb{Q}\otimes A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]},\, L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g})),\, \overline{L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))}\big)$ is balanced ([12, Theorem 1]), and hence there exists an upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$

$$\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g})) := \{G^{\mathrm{up}}(b) \mid b \in B^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))\}.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu \in W\lambda$, we denote by u_μ a unique member of the upper global basis of $V(\lambda)$ with weight μ. It is also a member of the lower global basis.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$, $w \in W$ and $b \in B(\lambda)$. Then, $\Phi(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)\otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{w\lambda})$ is a member of the upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. The element $\psi{:=}\Phi(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)\otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{w\lambda})$ is bar-invariant, and a member of crystal basis modulo $L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$. For any $P \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$,

$$\langle \psi, P\rangle = \big(u_{w\lambda}, PG^{\mathrm{up}}(b)\big)$$

belongs to $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]$ because $PG^{\mathrm{up}}(b) \in V^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$ and $u_{w\lambda} \in V^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$. Hence ψ belongs to $A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$. $\square$

The $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra anti-automorphism φ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear automorphism φ^* of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\big(\varphi^*(\psi)\big)(x) = \psi\big(\varphi(x)\big) \quad \text{for any } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We have

$$\varphi^*\big(\Phi(u\otimes v^{\mathrm{r}})\big) = \Phi(v\otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}),$$

and

$$\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\varphi^*\psi) = \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\varphi^*\psi) = \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi).$$

It is obvious that φ^* preserves $A_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$, $L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Proposition 2.4.

$$\varphi^*(\psi\theta) = q^{(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi),\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\theta))-(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi),\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\theta))}(\varphi^*\psi)(\varphi^*\theta).$$

In order to prove this proposition, we prepare a sublemma.

Let ξ be the algebra automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$\xi(e_i) = q_i^{-1}t_ie_i, \quad \xi(f_i) = q_if_it_i^{-1}, \quad \xi(q^h) = q^h.$$

We can easily see

$$(\xi\otimes\xi)\circ\Delta_+ = \Delta_-\circ\xi. \tag{2.2}$$

Let ξ^* be the automorphism of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$(\xi^*\psi)(x) = \psi(\xi(x)) \quad \text{for } \psi \in A_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Sublemma 2.5. *We have*

$$\xi^*(\psi) = q^{A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi))}\psi. \tag{2.3}$$

Here $A(\lambda,\mu) = \dfrac{1}{2}\big((\mu,\mu) - (\lambda,\lambda)\big)$.

Proof. Let us show that, for each x, the following equality

$$\psi(\xi(x)) = q^{A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi))}\psi(x) \tag{2.4}$$

holds for any ψ.

The equality (2.4) is obviously true for $x = q^h$. If (2.4) is true for x, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^*(\psi)(xe_i) &= \psi\big(\xi(xe_i)\big) = \psi\big(\xi(x)e_it_i\big)q_i \\
&= q^{(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi)) + (\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2}\psi(\xi(x)e_i) \\
&= q^{(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi)) + (\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2}\big(\xi^*(e_i\psi)\big)(x) \\
&= q^{(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi)) + (\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2 + A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi)+\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi))}(e_i\psi)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\lambda+\alpha_i\|^2 = \|\lambda\|^2 + 2(\alpha_i,\lambda) + (\alpha_i,\alpha_i)$, (2.4) holds for xe_i. Similarly if (2.4) holds for x, then it holds for xf_i. □

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We have

$$(\varphi \circ \varphi) \circ \Delta_- = \Delta_+ \circ \varphi. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi^*(\psi\theta), x\rangle &= \langle \psi\theta, \varphi(x)\rangle \\
&= \langle \psi \otimes \theta, \Delta_+(\varphi(x))\rangle \\
&= \langle \psi \otimes \theta, (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_-(x)\rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi^*(\psi) \otimes \varphi^*(\theta),\ \Delta_-(x)\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi^*(\varphi^*(\psi\theta)), x\rangle &= \langle \varphi^*(\psi\theta), \xi(x)\rangle = \langle \varphi^*(\psi)\otimes\varphi^*(\theta), \Delta_-(\xi(x))\rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi^*(\psi)\otimes\varphi^*(\theta), (\xi\otimes\xi)\circ\Delta_+ x\rangle \\
&= \langle \xi^*\varphi^*(\psi)\otimes\xi^*\varphi^*(\theta), \Delta_+ x\rangle \\
&= \langle \big(\xi^*\varphi^*(\psi)\big)\big(\xi^*\varphi^*(\theta)\big), x\rangle \\
&= q^{A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi)) + A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\theta), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\theta))}\langle (\varphi^*\psi)\,(\varphi^*\theta),\ x\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\varphi^*(\psi\theta) = q^c(\varphi^*\psi)\,(\varphi^*\theta)$$

with

$$c = A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi)) + A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\theta), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\theta)) - A(\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi) + \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\theta), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi) + \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\theta))$$

$$= (\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{r}(\theta)) - (\mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_\mathrm{l}(\theta)).$$

□

2.2. **Unipotent quantum coordinate ring.** Let us endow $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ with the algebra structure defined by

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2) \cdot (y_1 \otimes y_2) = q^{-(\mathrm{wt}(x_2), \mathrm{wt}(y_1))}(x_1 y_1 \otimes x_2 y_2).$$

Let $\Delta_\mathfrak{n}$ be the algebra homomorphism $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$\Delta_\mathfrak{n}(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e_i.$$

Set

$$A_q(\mathfrak{n}) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^-} A_q(\mathfrak{n})_\beta \quad \text{where } A_q(\mathfrak{n})_\beta := (U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{-\beta})^*.$$

Defining the bilinear form $\langle\ \cdot\ ,\ \cdot\ \rangle \colon (A_q(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes A_q(\mathfrak{n})) \times (U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}))$ by

$$\langle \psi \otimes \theta, x \otimes y \rangle = \theta(x)\psi(y),$$

we define the algebra structure on $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ by

$$(\psi \cdot \theta)(x) = \langle \psi \otimes \theta, \Delta_\mathfrak{n}(x) \rangle = \theta(x_{(1)})\psi(x_{(2)})$$

where $\Delta_\mathfrak{n}(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$.

Since $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ has a $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule structure, so does $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$.

We define the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-form of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ by

$$A_q(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]} = \left\{ \psi \in A_q(\mathfrak{n}) \mid \psi\big(U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}\big) \subset \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}] \right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

We define the bar-operator $-$ on $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ by

$$\overline{\psi}(x) = \overline{\psi(\overline{x})} \quad \text{for } \psi \in A_q(\mathfrak{n}) \text{ and } x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that the bar-operator is not a ring homomorphism but it satisfies

$$\overline{\psi\,\theta} = q^{(\mathrm{wt}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}(\theta))}\, \overline{\theta}\, \overline{\psi} \quad \text{for any } \psi,\, \theta \in A_q(\mathfrak{n}).$$

For $i \in I$, we denote by e_i^* the right action of e_i on $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$.

Lemma 2.6. *For $u, v \in A_q(\mathfrak{n})$, we have q-boson relations*

$$e_i(uv) = (e_i u)v + q^{(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(u))} u(e_i v) \quad \textit{and} \quad e_i^*(uv) = u(e_i^* v) + q^{(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(v))}(e_i^* u)v.$$

Proof.

$$\langle e_i(uv), x \rangle = \langle uv, x e_i \rangle = \langle u \otimes v,\ \Delta_\mathfrak{n}(x e_i) \rangle.$$

If we set $\Delta_\mathfrak{n} x = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$, then we have

$$\Delta_\mathfrak{n}(x e_i) = (x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)})(e_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e_i) = q^{-(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(x_{(2)}))}(x_{(1)} e_i) \otimes x_{(2)} + x_{(1)} \otimes (x_{(2)} e_i).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u \otimes v,\ \Delta_\mathfrak{n}(x e_i) \rangle &= q^{-(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(x_{(2)}))} u(x_{(2)}) v(x_{(1)} e_i) + u(x_{(2)} e_i) v(x_{(1)}) \\ &= q^{(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(u))} u(x_{(2)}) \cdot (e_i v)(x_{(1)}) + (e_i u)(x_{(2)}) \cdot v(x_{(1)})\end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle q^{(\alpha_i,\mathrm{wt}(u))} u \otimes (e_i v) + (e_i u) \otimes v,\, \Delta_{\mathfrak{n}} x\rangle.$$

The second identity follows in a similar way. □

We define the map $\iota\colon U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \to A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ by

$$\langle \iota(u), x\rangle = (u, \varphi(x)) \quad \text{for any } u \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since $(\ ,\ )$ is a non-degenerate bilinear form on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, ι is injective. The relation

$$\langle \iota(e_i'u), x\rangle = (e_i'u, \varphi(x)) = (u, f_i\varphi(x)) = \langle e_i\iota(u), x\rangle,$$

implies that

$$\iota(e_i'u) = e_i\iota(u).$$

Lemma 2.7. *ι is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. The map ι is an algebra homomorphism because e_i' and e_i both satisfy the same q-boson relation. □

Hence, the algebra $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ has an upper crystal basis $(L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n})), B(A_q(\mathfrak{n})))$ such that $B(A_q(\mathfrak{n})) \simeq B(\infty)$. Furthermore, $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ has an upper global basis

$$\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n})) = \{G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)\}_{\ b\in B(A_q(\mathfrak{n}))}$$

induced by the balanced triple $\big(\mathbb{Q}\otimes A_q(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]},\, L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n})),\, \overline{L^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n}))}\big)$.

Remark 2.8. Note that the multiplication on $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ given in [6] is different from ours. Indeed, by denoting the product of ψ and ϕ in [6, §4.2] by $\psi\cdot\phi$, we have, for $x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$

$$(\psi\cdot\phi)(x) = \psi(x^{(1)})\phi(x^{(2)}),$$

where $\Delta_+(x) = x^{(1)}q^{h_{(1)}} \otimes x^{(2)}q^{h_{(2)}}$ for $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)} \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$, $h_{(1)}, h_{(2)} \in \mathsf{P}^\vee$. By Lemma 2.17 below, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi\cdot\phi)(x) \quad &= \psi\big(q^{(\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)}),\mathrm{wt}(x_{(2)}))}(x_{(2)})\big)\phi\big(x_{(1)}\big)\\ &= q^{(\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)},\mathrm{wt}(x_{(2)}))}\psi(x_{(2)})\phi(x_{(1)}) = q^{(\mathrm{wt}(\psi),\mathrm{wt}(\phi))}(\psi\phi)(x),\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$. In particular, we have a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra isomorphism from $(A_q(\mathfrak{n}), \cdot)$ to $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ given by

$$x \mapsto q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta,\beta)}x \quad \text{for } x \in A_q(\mathfrak{n})_\beta. \tag{2.7}$$

Note also that the bar-operator $-$ is a ring anti-isomorphism between $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ and $(A_q(\mathfrak{n}), \cdot)$.

2.3. **Modified quantum enveloping algebra.** For the materials in this subsection we refer the reader to [24], [14]. We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{g},\mathsf{P})$ the category of left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules with the weight space decomposition. Let (forget) be the functor from $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{g},\mathsf{P})$ to the category of vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, forgetting the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure.

Let us denote by $\mathscr{R}$ the endomorphism ring of (forget). Note that $\mathscr{R}$ contains $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. For $\eta \in \mathsf{P}$, let $a_\eta \in \mathscr{R}$ denotes the projector $M \to M_\eta$ to the weight space of weight η. Then the defining relation of a_η (as a left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module) is

$$q^h \cdot a_\eta = q^{\langle h,\eta\rangle} a_\eta.$$

We have

$$a_\eta a_\zeta = \delta_{\eta,\zeta} a_\eta, \quad a_\eta P = P a_{\eta-\xi} \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathsf{Q} \text{ and } P \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\xi.$$

Then $\mathscr{R}$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{\eta\in\mathsf{P}} U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\eta$. We set

$$\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) := \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathsf{P}} U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\eta \subset \mathscr{R}.$$

Then $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{R}$. We call it the *modified quantum enveloping algebra.* Note that any $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module in $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{g},\mathsf{P})$ has a natural $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure.

The (anti-)automorphisms $*$, φ and $^-$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ extend to the ones of $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$a_\eta^* = a_{-\eta}, \quad \varphi(a_\eta) = a_\eta, \quad \overline{a}_\eta = a_\eta.$$

For a dominant integral weight $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$, let us denote by $V(\lambda)$ (resp. $V(-\lambda)$) the irreducible module with highest (resp. lowest) weight λ (resp. $-\lambda$). Let u_λ (resp. $u_{-\lambda}$) be the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector.

For $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$, $\mu \in \mathsf{P}^- := -\mathsf{P}^+$, we set

$$V(\lambda,\mu) := V(\lambda) \otimes_- V(\mu).$$

Then $V(\lambda,\mu)$ is generated by $u_\lambda \otimes u_\mu$ as a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, and the defining relation of $u_\lambda \otimes_- u_\mu$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &q^h(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_\mu) = q^{\langle h,\lambda+\mu\rangle}(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_\mu), \\ &e_i^{1-\langle h_i,\mu\rangle}(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_\mu) = 0, \quad f_i^{1+\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_\mu) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the automorphism $^-$ of $V(\lambda,\mu)$ by

$$\overline{P(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_\mu)} = \overline{P}(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_\mu).$$

We set

(i) $L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu) := L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L^{\mathrm{low}}(\mu)$,
(ii) $V(\lambda,\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} := V(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} V(\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$,
(iii) $B(\lambda,\mu) := B(\lambda) \otimes B(\mu)$.

Proposition 2.9 ([24]). *$(L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu), B(\lambda,\mu))$ is a lower crystal basis of $V(\lambda,\mu)$. Furthermore, $\big(\mathbb{Q}\otimes V(\lambda,\mu)_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]},\, L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu),\, \overline{L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu)}\big)$ is balanced, and there exists a lower global basis $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(V(\lambda,\mu))$ obtained from the lower crystal basis $(L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu), B(\lambda,\mu))$.*

Theorem 2.10 ([24]). *The algebra $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a lower crystal basis $(L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})), B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})))$ satisfying the following properties:*

(i) *$L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigoplus\limits_{\lambda\in\mathsf{P}} L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ and $B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\mathsf{P}} B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ where*
  - *$L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) = L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \cap U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda$ and*
  - *$B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) = B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \cap \big(L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)/qL^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)\big)$.*

(ii) *Set $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} := \bigoplus\limits_{\eta\in\mathsf{P}} U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}a_\eta$. Then $\big(\mathbb{Q}\otimes \widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]},\, L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})),\, \overline{L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))}\big)$ is balanced, and $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has the lower global basis $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) := \{G^{\mathrm{low}}(b) \mid b \in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))\}$.*

(iii) *For any $\lambda\in\mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu\in\mathsf{P}^-$, let*

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\mu}\colon U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+\mu} \to V(\lambda,\mu)$$

be the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-linear map $a_{\lambda+\mu} \longmapsto u_\lambda\otimes u_\mu$. Then we have $\Psi_{\lambda,\mu}\big(L(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+\mu})\big) = L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu)$.

(iv) *Let $\overline{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}$ be the induced homomorphism*

$$L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+\mu})/qL^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+\mu}) \longrightarrow L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu)/qL^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda,\mu).$$

Then we have
  (a) *$\{b\in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+\mu}) \mid \overline{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}b\neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\lambda,\mu)$,*
  (b) *$\Psi_{\lambda,\mu}\big(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)\big) = G^{\mathrm{low}}(\overline{\Psi}_{\lambda,\mu}(b))$ for any $b\in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+\mu})$.*

(v) *$B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ has a structure of crystal such that the injective map induced by* (iv) (a)

$$B(\lambda,\mu) \to B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+\mu}) \subset B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$$

is a strict embedding of crystals for any $\lambda\in\mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu\in\mathsf{P}^-$.

For $\lambda\in\mathsf{P}$, take any $\zeta\in\mathsf{P}^+$ and $\eta\in\mathsf{P}^-$ such that $\lambda=\zeta+\eta$. Then $B(\zeta)\otimes B(\eta)$ is embedded into $B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\eta)$.

For $\mu\in\mathsf{P}$, let $T_\mu=\{t_\mu\}$ be the crystal with

$$\mathrm{wt}(t_\mu)=\mu,\quad \varepsilon_i(t_\mu)=\varphi_i(t_\mu)=-\infty,\quad \tilde{e}_i(t_\mu)=\tilde{f}_i(t_\mu)=0.$$

Since we have

$$B(\zeta)\hookrightarrow B(\infty)\otimes T_\zeta,\ \ B(\eta)\hookrightarrow T_\eta\otimes B(-\infty) \text{ and } T_\zeta\otimes T_\eta\simeq T_{\zeta+\eta},$$

$B(\zeta)\otimes B(\eta)$ is embedded into the crystal $B(\infty)\otimes T_\lambda\otimes B(-\infty)$. Taking $\zeta\to\infty$ and $\eta\to-\infty$, we have

Lemma 2.11 ([14]). *For any $\lambda\in\mathsf{P}$, we have a canonical crystal isomorphism*

$$B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)\simeq B(\infty)\otimes T_\lambda\otimes B(-\infty).$$

Hence we identify

$$B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathsf{P}} B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty).$$

Theorem 2.12 ([14])**.**

(i) $L^{\mathrm{low}}(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ *is invariant under the anti-automorphisms* $*$ *and* φ*.*
(ii) $B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))^* = \varphi(B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))) = B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$*.*
(iii) $\big(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)\big)^* = G^{\mathrm{low}}(b^*)$ *and* $\varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)) = G^{\mathrm{low}}(\varphi(b))$ *for* $b \in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$*.*

Corollary 2.13 ([14])**.** *For* $b_1 \in B(\infty)$*,* $b_2 \in B(-\infty)$*, we have*

(1) $(b_1 \otimes t_\mu \otimes b_2)^* = b_1^* \otimes t_{-\mu-\mathrm{wt}(b_1)-\mathrm{wt}(b_2)} \otimes b_2^*$*.*
(2) $\varphi(b_1 \otimes t_\mu \otimes b_2) = \varphi(b_2) \otimes t_{\mu+\mathrm{wt}(b_1)+\mathrm{wt}(b_2)} \otimes \varphi(b_1)$*.*

We define, for $b \in B$ with $B = B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})), B(\infty)$ or $B(-\infty)$,

$$\varepsilon_i^*(b) = \varepsilon_i(b^*),\ \ \varphi_i^*(b) = \varphi_i(b^*),\ \ \mathrm{wt}^*(b) = \mathrm{wt}(b^*),\ \ \tilde{e}_i^*(b) = \tilde{e}_i(b^*)^* \text{ and } \tilde{f}_i^*(b) = \tilde{f}_i(b^*)^*.$$

This defines another crystal structure on $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$: For $b_1 \in B(\infty)$ and $b_2 \in B(-\infty)$ and $\eta \in \mathsf{P}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_i^*(b_1 \otimes t_\eta \otimes b_2) &= \max(\varepsilon_i^*(b_1), \varphi_i^*(b_2) + \langle h_i, \eta\rangle),\\
\varphi_i^*(b_1 \otimes t_\eta \otimes b_2) &= \max(\varepsilon_i^*(b_1) - \langle h_i, \eta\rangle, \varphi_i^*(b_2)),\\
&= \varepsilon_i^*(b_1 \otimes t_\eta \otimes b_2) + \langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}^*(b_1 \otimes t_\eta \otimes b_2)\rangle,\\
\mathrm{wt}^*(b_1 \otimes t_\eta \otimes b_2) &= -\eta,\\
\tilde{e}_i^*(b_1 \otimes t_\eta \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{e}_i^* b_1) \otimes t_{\eta-\alpha_i} \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^*(b_1) \ge \varphi_i^*(b_2) + \langle h_i, \eta\rangle,\\ b_1 \otimes t_{\eta-\alpha_i} \otimes (\tilde{e}_i^* b_2) & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^*(b_1) < \varphi_i^*(b_2) + \langle h_i, \eta\rangle,\end{cases}\\
\tilde{f}_i^*(b_1 \otimes t_\eta \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{f}_i^* b_1) \otimes t_{\eta+\alpha_i} \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^*(b_1) > \varphi_i^*(b_2) + \langle h_i, \eta\rangle,\\ b_1 \otimes t_{\eta+\alpha_i} \otimes (\tilde{f}_i^* b_2) & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^*(b_1) \le \varphi_i^*(b_2) + \langle h_i, \eta\rangle.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\tilde{e}_i \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \tilde{f}_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_i \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \tilde{f}_i^* \quad \text{for every } i \in I.$$

For $\xi \in \mathsf{Q}_-$ and $\eta \in \mathsf{Q}_+$, we shall denote by

$$U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{<\eta} := \bigoplus_{\eta' \in \mathsf{Q}_+ \cap (\eta + \mathsf{Q}_-) \setminus \{\eta\}} U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\eta'}.$$

Then for any $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}$, $b_- \in B(\infty)_\xi$ and $b_+ \in B(-\infty)_\eta$, we have

$$G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_- \otimes t_\lambda \otimes b_+) - G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-)G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_+)a_\lambda \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{>\xi} U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{<\eta} a_\lambda. \tag{2.8}$$

2.4. **Relationship of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.** There exists a canonical pairing $A_q(\mathfrak{g}) \times \widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ by

$$\langle \psi, x a_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\mu, \mathrm{wt_l}(\psi)} \psi(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } \mu \in \mathsf{P}. \tag{2.9}$$

Theorem 2.14 ([14])**.** *There exists a bi-crystal embedding*

$$\overline{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}} \colon B(A_q(\mathfrak{g})) \longrightarrow B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \tag{2.10}$$

which satisfies:

$$\langle G^{\mathrm{up}}(b), \varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b')) \rangle = \delta_{\overline{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}(b), b'}$$

for any $b \in B(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $b' \in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$.

2.5. **Relationship of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$.**

Definition 2.15. Let $p_{\mathfrak{n}} \colon A_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ be the homomorphism induced by $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$\langle p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi), x \rangle = \psi(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{wt}(p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi)) = \mathrm{wt_l}(\psi) - \mathrm{wt_r}(\psi).$$

Note that the map $p_{\mathfrak{n}}$ sends the upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to the upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ or zero. Hence we have a map

$$\overline{p}_{\mathfrak{n}} \colon B(A_q(\mathfrak{g})) \to B(A_q(\mathfrak{n})) \bigsqcup \{0\}.$$

It is obvious that $p_{\mathfrak{n}}$ sends all $\Phi(u_{w\lambda} \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{w\lambda})$ ($\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $w \in W$) to 1. Note that $\overline{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u_{w\lambda} \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{w\lambda}) = b_\infty \otimes t_{w\lambda} \otimes b_{-\infty} \in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Proposition 2.16. *For $b \in B(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$, set $\overline{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}(b) = b_1 \otimes t_\zeta \otimes b_2 \in B(\infty) \otimes T_\zeta \otimes B(-\infty) \subset B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ ($\zeta \in \mathsf{P}$). Then we have*

$$p_{\mathfrak{n}}(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = \delta_{b_2, b_{-\infty}} G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_1).$$

Proof. Set $\eta := \mathrm{wt}(b_1) + \zeta + \mathrm{wt}(b_2) = \mathrm{wt_l}(b)$. Then for any $\tilde{b} \in B(\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big\langle p_{\mathfrak{n}}(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)), \varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(\tilde{b})) \big\rangle &= \big\langle G^{\mathrm{up}}(b), G^{\mathrm{low}}(\varphi(\tilde{b})) a_\eta \big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle G^{\mathrm{up}}(b), G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_\infty \otimes t_\eta \otimes \varphi(\tilde{b})) \big\rangle = \delta(\overline{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}(b) = \tilde{b} \otimes t_{\eta - \mathrm{wt}(\tilde{b})} \otimes b_{-\infty}) \\ &= \delta(b_2 = b_{-\infty}, b_1 = \tilde{b}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Although the map $p_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is not an algebra homomorphism, $p_{\mathfrak{n}}$ preserves the multiplications up to a power of q, as we will see below.

Lemma 2.17. *For $x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$, if $\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$, then*

$$\Delta_+(x) = q^{\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)})} x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Assume that (2.11) holds for $x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(e_i x) = (e_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e_i)(x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}) = e_i x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} + q^{-(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)}))} x_{(1)} \otimes (e_i x_{(2)}).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_+(e_i x) &= (e_i \otimes 1 + q^{\alpha_i} \otimes e_i)(q^{\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)})} x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}) \\ &= (e_i q^{\mathrm{wt}(x_1)}) x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} + (q^{\alpha_i + \mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)})} x_{(2)}) \otimes (e_i x_{(1)}) \\ &= q^{-(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)}))} (q^{\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)})} e_i x_{(2)}) \otimes x_{(1)} + (q^{\mathrm{wt}(e_i x_{(1)})} x_{(2)}) \otimes (e_i x_{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.11) holds for $e_i x$. □

Proposition 2.18. *For* $\psi, \theta \in A_q(\mathfrak{g})$, *we have*

$$p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi\theta) = q^{(\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta) - \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{l}}(\theta))} p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi) p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\theta).$$

Proof. For $x \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$, set $\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi\theta), x\rangle &= \langle \psi\theta, x\rangle = \langle \psi \otimes \theta, q^{\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)})} x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}\rangle = \langle \psi, q^{\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)})} x_{(2)}\rangle \langle \theta, x_{(1)}\rangle \\ &= q^{(\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)}))} \langle \psi, x_{(2)}\rangle \langle \theta, x_{(1)}\rangle = q^{(\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)}))} \langle p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi), x_{(2)}\rangle \langle p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\theta), x_{(1)}\rangle \\ &\underset{\text{(a)}}{=} q^{(\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta) - \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{l}}(\theta))} \langle p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi) \otimes p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\theta), \Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(x)\rangle \\ &= q^{(\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\psi), \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta) - \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{l}}(\theta))} \langle p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\psi) p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\theta), x\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used $\mathrm{wt}(x_{(1)}) = -\mathrm{wt}\big(p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\theta)\big)$ in (a). □

There exists an injective map

$$\bar{\iota}_\lambda \colon B(\lambda) \to B(\infty) \tag{2.12}$$

induced by the $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$-linear homomorphism $\iota_\lambda \colon V(\lambda) \to A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ given by

$$v \longmapsto \big(U_q(\mathfrak{g})^+ \ni a \mapsto (av, u_\lambda)\big).$$

It commutes with $\tilde{e}_i$. We have

$$G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(b) = G^{\mathrm{low}}(\bar{\iota}_\lambda(b)) u_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_\lambda G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\bar{\iota}_\lambda(b)) \quad \text{for any } b \in B(\lambda).$$

Proposition 2.19 ([14])**.** *Let* $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$ *and* $w \in W$*. Then for any* $b \in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) a_{\lambda + w\mu})$, $G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_{w\mu})$ *vanishes or is a member of the lower global basis of* $V(\lambda) \otimes_- V(\mu)$.

Hence we have a crystal morphism

$$\pi_{\lambda, w\mu} \colon B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) a_{\lambda + w\mu}) \to B(\lambda) \otimes B(\mu) \tag{2.13}$$

by $G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)(u_\lambda \otimes_- u_{w\mu}) = G^{\mathrm{low}}(\pi_{\lambda, w\mu}(b))$.

3. Quantum minors and T-systems

Hereafter, we assume that the generalized Cartan matrix A *is symmetric,* although many of the results hold also in the non-symmetric case. Hence we assume that $\mathsf{A} = \big((\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\big)_{i,j \in I}$.

3.1. **Quantum minors.** Using the isomorphism Φ in (2.1), for each $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu, \xi \in W\lambda$, we define the elements

$$\Delta(\mu,\zeta) := \Phi(u_\mu \otimes u_\zeta^{\mathrm{r}}) \in A_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

and

$$\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta) := p_{\mathfrak{n}}(\Delta(\mu,\zeta)) \in A_q(\mathfrak{n}).$$

The element $\Delta(\mu,\zeta)$ is called a (generalized) *quantum minor* and $\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)$ is called *a unipotent quantum minor*.

Lemma 3.1. *$\Delta(\mu,\zeta)$ is a member of the upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover $\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)$ is either a member of the upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ or zero.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.16. □

Lemma 3.2 ([2, (9.13)]). *For $u, v \in W$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$, we have*

$$\Delta(u\lambda, v\lambda)\Delta(u\mu, v\mu) = \Delta\big(u(\lambda+\mu), v(\lambda+\mu)\big).$$

By Proposition 2.18, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.3. *For $u, v \in W$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$, we have*

$$\mathrm{D}(u\lambda, v\lambda)\mathrm{D}(u\mu, v\mu) = q^{-(v\lambda, v\mu - u\mu)}\mathrm{D}\big(u(\lambda+\mu), v(\lambda+\mu)\big).$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{D}(\mu,\mu) = 1 \quad \text{for } \mu \in W\lambda\ .$$

Then $\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu \preceq \zeta$. Recall that for μ, ζ in the same W-orbit, we say that $\mu \preceq \zeta$ if there exists a sequence $\{\beta_k\}_{1 \le k \le l}$ of positive real roots such that, defining $\lambda_0 = \zeta$, $\lambda_k = s_{\beta_k}\lambda_{k-1}$ $(1 \le k \le l)$, we have $(\beta_k, \lambda_{k-1}) \ge 0$ and $\lambda_l = \mu$.

More precisely, we have

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu, \zeta \in W\lambda$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *$\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)$ is an element of upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$,*
(b) *$\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta) \neq 0$,*
(c) *$u_\mu \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})u_\zeta$,*
(d) *$u_\zeta \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})u_\mu$,*
(e) *$\mu \preceq \zeta$,*
(f) *for any $w \in W$ such that $\mu = w\lambda$, there exists $u \le w$ (in the Bruhat order) such that $\zeta = u\lambda$,*
(g) *there exist $u, v \in W$ such that $\mu = w\lambda$, $\zeta = u\lambda$ and $u \le w$.*

Proof. The equivalence of (b), (c) and (d) is obvious. The equivalence of (e), (f), (g) is well-known. The equivalence of (d) and (f) is proved in [13]. □

For any $u \in A_q(\mathfrak{n}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $i \in I$, we set

$$\varepsilon_i(u) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid e_i^n u \neq 0\},$$
$$\varepsilon_i^*(u) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid {e_i^*}^n u \neq 0\}.$$

Then for any $b \in B(A_q(\mathfrak{n}))$, we have

$$\varepsilon_i(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b) \text{ and } \varepsilon_i^*(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = \varepsilon_i^*(b).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$, $\mu, \zeta \in W\lambda$ such that $\mu \preceq \zeta$ and $i \in I$.*

(i) *If $n := \langle h_i, \mu \rangle \geq 0$, then*

$$\varepsilon_i(\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad e_i^{(n)}\mathrm{D}(s_i\mu,\zeta) = \mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta).$$

(ii) *If $\langle h_i, \mu \rangle \leq 0$ and $s_i\mu \preceq \zeta$, then $\varepsilon_i(\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)) = -\langle h_i, \mu \rangle$.*

(iii) *If $m := -\langle h_i, \zeta \rangle \leq 0$, then*

$$\varepsilon_i^*(\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad e_i^{*\,(m)}\mathrm{D}(\mu,s_i\zeta) = \mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta).$$

(iv) *If $\langle h_i, \zeta \rangle \geq 0$ and $\mu \preceq s_i\zeta$, then $\varepsilon_i^*(\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)) = \langle h_i, \zeta \rangle$.*

Proof. We have $\varepsilon_i\big(\Delta(\mu,\zeta)\big) = \max(-\langle h_i,\mu\rangle, 0)$ and $\varepsilon_i^*\big(\Delta(\mu,\zeta)\big) = \max(\langle h_i,\zeta\rangle, 0)$. Moreover, $p_{\mathfrak{n}}$ commutes with $e_i^{(n)}$ and $e_i^{*(n)}$.

Let us show (ii). Set $\ell = -\langle h_i, \mu \rangle$. Then we have $e_i^{\ell+1}\Delta(\mu,\zeta) = 0$, which implies $e_i^{\ell+1}\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta) = 0$. Hence $\varepsilon_i(\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)) \leq \ell$. We have

$$e_i^{(\ell)}\Delta(\mu,\zeta) = \Delta(s_i\mu,\zeta).$$

Hence we have $e_i^{(\ell)}\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta) = \mathrm{D}(s_i\mu,\zeta)$. By the assumption $s_i\mu \preceq \zeta$, $\mathrm{D}(s_i\mu,\zeta)$ does not vanish. Hence we have $\varepsilon_i(\mathrm{D}(\mu,\zeta)) \geq \ell$.

The other statements can be proved similarly. ☐

Proposition 3.6 ([2, (10.2)])**.** *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $s, t, s', t' \in W$ such that $\ell(s's) = \ell(s') + \ell(s)$ and $\ell(t't) = \ell(t') + \ell(t)$. Then we have*

(i) $\Delta(s's\lambda, t'\lambda)\Delta(s'\mu, t't\mu) = q^{(s\lambda,\mu)-(\lambda,t\mu)}\Delta(s'\mu, t't\mu)\Delta(s's\lambda, t'\lambda)$.

(ii) *If we assume further that $s's\lambda \preceq t'\lambda$ and $s'\mu \preceq t't\mu$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{D}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda)\mathrm{D}(s'\mu, t't\mu) = q^{(s's\lambda+t'\lambda,\, s'\mu-t't\mu)}\mathrm{D}(s'\mu, t't\mu)\mathrm{D}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda), \tag{3.1}$$

or equivalently

$$q^{(t'\lambda,\, t't\mu-s'\mu)}\mathrm{D}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda)\mathrm{D}(s'\mu, t't\mu) = q^{(s'\mu-t't\mu,\, s's\lambda)}\mathrm{D}(s'\mu, t't\mu)\mathrm{D}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda) \tag{3.2}$$

Note that (ii) follows from by Proposition 2.18 and (i). Note also that the both sides of (3.2) are bar-invariant, and hence they are members of the upper global basis as seen by [10, Corollary 3.5].

Proposition 3.7. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $s, t \in W$, set $\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}\big(u_{s\lambda} \otimes (u_\lambda)^{\mathrm{r}}\big) = b_- \otimes t_\lambda \otimes b_{-\infty}$ and $\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}\big(u_\mu \otimes (u_{t\mu})^{\mathrm{r}}\big) = b_\infty \otimes t_{t\mu} \otimes b_+$ with $b_\mp \in B(\pm\infty)$. Then we have*

$$\Delta(s\lambda,\lambda)\Delta(\mu,t\mu) = G^{\mathrm{up}}\big(\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(b_- \otimes t_{\lambda+t\mu} \otimes b_+)\big).$$

Proof. Let $(\,\bullet\,,\,\bullet\,) : \big(V(\lambda) \otimes_- V(\mu)\big) \times \big(V(\lambda) \otimes_+ V(\mu)\big) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ be the coupling defined $(u \otimes_- v, u' \otimes_+ v') = (u,u')(v,v')$. Then it satisfies

$$\big(P(u \otimes_- v), u' \otimes_+ v'\big) = \big(u \otimes_- v, \varphi(P)(u' \otimes_+ v')\big) \quad \text{for any } P \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

For $u, u' \in V(\lambda)$ and $v, v' \in V(\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi(u \otimes u'^{\mathrm{r}})\Phi(v \otimes v'^{\mathrm{r}}), P\rangle &= \big(u' \otimes_{-} v', P(u \otimes_{+} v)\big)\\ &= \big(\varphi(P)(u' \otimes_{-} v'), u \otimes_{+} v\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence for $P \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\langle \Delta(s\lambda, \lambda)\Delta(\mu, t\mu), Pa_\zeta\rangle = \delta(\zeta = s\lambda + \mu)\big(\varphi(P)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{t\mu}), u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu\big).$$

If $Pa_\zeta = G^{\mathrm{low}}(\varphi(b))$ for $b \in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$, then we have

$$\langle \Delta(s\lambda, \lambda)\Delta(\mu, t\mu), \varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b))\rangle = \delta(\zeta = s\lambda + \mu)\big(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{t\mu}), u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu\big).$$

The element $G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{t\mu})$ vanishes or is a global basis of $V(\lambda) \otimes_{-} V(\mu)$ by Proposition 2.19. Since $u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu$ is a member of the upper global basis of $V(\lambda) \otimes_{+} V(\mu)$, we have

$$\langle \Delta(s\lambda, \lambda)\Delta(\mu, t\mu), \varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b))\rangle = \delta(\zeta = s\lambda + \mu)\delta\big(\pi_{\lambda, t\mu}(b) = u_{s\lambda} \otimes u_\mu\big).$$

Here $\pi_{\lambda,t\mu} \colon B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})a_{\lambda+t\mu}) \to B(\lambda) \otimes B(\mu)$ is the crystal morphism given in (2.13).

Hence we obtain

$$\Delta(s\lambda, \lambda)\Delta(\mu, t\mu) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\,-1}(b))$$

where $b \in B(\widetilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a unique element such that $\big(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{s\mu}), u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu\big) = 1$.

On the other hand, we have $G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_+)u_{t\mu} = u_\mu$ and $G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-)u_\lambda = u_{s\lambda}$. The last equality implies $\varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-))u_{s\lambda} = u_\lambda$ because $(\varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-))u_{s\lambda}, u_\lambda) = (u_{s\lambda}, G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-)u_\lambda) = (u_{s\lambda}, u_{s\lambda}) = 1$. As seen in (2.8), we have

$$G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-)G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_+)a_{\lambda+t\mu} - G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_- \otimes t_{\lambda+t\mu} \otimes b_+) \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{>s\lambda-\lambda}U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{<\mu-t\mu}a_{\lambda+t\mu}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_- \otimes t_{\lambda+t\mu} \otimes b_+)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{t\mu}),\ u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu\big)\\ &\qquad = \big(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-)G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_+)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{t\mu}),\ u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu\big)\\ &\qquad\qquad = \big(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_+)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{t\mu}),\ \varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-))(u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu)\big) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we used $G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_+)(u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_{t\mu}) = u_\lambda \otimes_{-} (G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_+)u_{t\mu}) = u_\lambda \otimes_{-} u_\mu$ and $\varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-))(u_{s\lambda} \otimes_{+} u_\mu) = \big(\varphi(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b_-))u_{s\lambda}\big) \otimes_{+} u_\mu = u_\lambda \otimes_{+} u_\mu$.

Hence we conclude that $b = b_- \otimes t_{\lambda+t\mu} \otimes b_+$. □

Let

$$\iota_{\lambda,\mu} \colon V(\lambda + \mu) \rightarrowtail V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \tag{3.3}$$

be the canonical embedding and

$$\overline{\iota}_{\lambda,\mu} \colon B(\lambda + \mu) \rightarrowtail B(\lambda) \otimes B(\mu) \tag{3.4}$$

the induced crystal embedding.

Lemma 3.8. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $x, y \in W$ such that $x \geq y$, we have*

$$u_{x\lambda} \otimes u_{y\mu} \in \overline{\iota}_{\lambda,\mu}(B(\lambda + \mu)) \subset B(\lambda) \otimes B(\mu).$$

Proof. Let us show by induction on $\ell(x)$, the length of x in W. We may assume that $x \neq 1$. Then there exists $i \in I$ such that $s_i x < x$. If $s_i y < y$, then $s_i x \geq s_i y$ and $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(u_{x\lambda} \otimes u_{y\mu}) = u_{s_i x\lambda} \otimes u_{s_i y\mu}$.

If $s_i y > y$, then $s_i x \geq y$ and $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(u_{x\lambda} \otimes u_{y\mu}) = u_{s_i x\lambda} \otimes u_{y\mu}$. In both cases, $u_{x\lambda} \otimes u_{y\mu}$ is connected with an element of $\bar{\iota}_{\lambda,\mu}(B(\lambda+\mu))$. □

Lemma 3.9. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $w \in W$, we have*

$$\Delta(w\lambda, \lambda)\Delta(\mu, \mu) = G^{\mathrm{up}}\big(\overline{\iota}_{\lambda,\mu}^{-1}(u_{w\lambda} \otimes u_\mu) \otimes {u_{\lambda+\mu}}^{\mathrm{r}}\big).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u_{w\lambda} \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{\lambda}) &= b_{w\lambda} \otimes t_\lambda \otimes b_{-\infty},\\
\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u_{\mu} \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{\mu}) &= b_{\infty} \otimes t_\mu \otimes b_{-\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_{w\lambda} := \bar{\iota}_\lambda(u_{w\lambda})$. Hence Proposition 3.7 implies that

$$\Delta(w\lambda, \lambda)\Delta(\mu, \mu) = G^{\mathrm{up}}\big(\overline{\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-1}(b_{w\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda+\mu} \otimes b_{-\infty})\big).$$

Then, $\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}\big(\overline{\iota}_{\lambda,\mu}^{-1}(u_{w\lambda} \otimes u_\mu) \otimes {u_{\lambda+\mu}}^{\mathrm{r}}\big) = b_{w\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda+\mu} \otimes b_{-\infty}$ implies the desired result. □

3.2. **T-systems.** In this subsection, we record the *T-system* among the (unipotent) quantum minors for later use (see [20] for T-system).

Proposition 3.10 ([6, Proposition 3.2])**.** *Assume that $u, v \in W$ and $i \in I$ satisfy $u < us_i$ and $v < vs_i$. Then*

$$\Delta(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i)\Delta(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) = q^{-1}\Delta(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i)\Delta(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) + \prod_{j\neq i}\Delta(u\varpi_j, v\varpi_j)^{-a_{i,j}},$$

$$\Delta(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i)\Delta(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) = q\Delta(u\varpi_i\ , vs_i\varpi_i)\Delta(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) + \prod_{j\neq i}\Delta(u\varpi_j, v\varpi_j)^{-a_{i,j}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{(vs_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i - u\varpi_i)}\mathrm{D}(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i)\mathrm{D}(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i)\\
&\qquad = q^{-1+(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - u\varpi_i)}\mathrm{D}(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i)\mathrm{D}(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) + \mathrm{D}(u\lambda, v\lambda)\\
&\qquad = q^{-1+(vs_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i - us_i\varpi_i)}\mathrm{D}(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i)\mathrm{D}(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) + \mathrm{D}(u\lambda, v\lambda),\\
&q^{(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - us_i\varpi_i)}\mathrm{D}(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i)\mathrm{D}(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i)\\
&\qquad = q^{1+(vs_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i - us_i\varpi_i)}\mathrm{D}(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i)\mathrm{D}(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) + \mathrm{D}(u\lambda, v\lambda)\\
&\qquad = q^{1+(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - u\varpi_i)}\mathrm{D}(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i)\mathrm{D}(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) + \mathrm{D}(u\lambda, v\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = s_i\varpi_i + \varpi_i = -\sum_{j\neq i} a_{j,i}\varpi_j$.

3.3. **Revisit of crystal bases and global bases.** In order to prove Theorem 3.13 below, we first investigate the upper crystal lattice of $\mathbf{D}_\varphi V$ induced by an upper crystal lattice of $V \in \mathcal{O}_{\rm int}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let V be a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module in $\mathcal{O}_{\rm int}$. Let $L^{\rm up}$ be an upper crystal lattice of V. Then we have (see Lemma 1.1)

$$\bigoplus_{\xi\in \mathsf{P}} q^{(\xi,\xi)/2}(L^{\rm up})_\xi \text{ is a lower crystal lattice of } V.$$

Recall that, for $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$, the upper crystal lattice $L^{\rm up}(\lambda)$ and the lower crystal lattice $L^{\rm low}(\lambda)$ of $V(\lambda)$ are related by

$$L^{\rm up}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\xi\in \mathsf{P}} q^{((\lambda,\lambda)-(\xi,\xi))/2} L^{\rm low}(\lambda)_\xi \subset L^{\rm low}(\lambda). \tag{3.5}$$

Write

$$V \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda\in \mathsf{P}^+} E_\lambda \otimes V(\lambda)$$

with finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector spaces E_λ . Accordingly, we have a canonical decomposition

$$L^{\rm up} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda\in \mathsf{P}^+} C_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L^{\rm up}(\lambda),$$

where $C_\lambda \subset E_\lambda$ is an $\mathbf{A}_0$-lattice of E_λ.

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_\varphi V \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda\in \mathsf{P}^+} E^*_\lambda \otimes V(\lambda).$$

Note that we have

$$\Phi_V\left((a\otimes u)\otimes (b\otimes v)^{\rm r}\right) = \langle a,b\rangle \Phi_\lambda(u\otimes v^{\rm r}) \quad \text{for } u,v\in V(\lambda) \text{ and } a\in E_\lambda,\ b\in E^*_\lambda.$$

We define the induced upper crystal lattice $\mathbf{D}_\varphi L^{\rm up}$ of $\mathbf{D}_\varphi V$ by

$$\mathbf{D}_\varphi L^{\rm up} := \bigoplus_{\lambda\in \mathsf{P}^+} C^\vee_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L^{\rm up}(\lambda) \subset \mathbf{D}_\varphi V,$$

where $C^\vee_\lambda := \{u\in E^*_\lambda \mid \langle u, C_\lambda\rangle \subset \mathbf{A}_0\}$. Then we have

$$\Phi_V\left(L^{\rm up}\otimes (\mathbf{D}_\varphi L^{\rm up})^{\rm r}\right) \subset L^{\rm up}(A_q(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_\varphi L^{\rm up} = \left\{u\in \mathbf{D}_\varphi V \mid \Phi_V(L^{\rm up}\otimes u^{\rm r}) \subset L^{\rm up}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))\right\}.$$

Since $(L^{\rm up}(\lambda))^\vee = L^{\rm low}(\lambda)$, we have

$$(L^{\rm up})^\vee = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in \mathsf{P}^+} C^\vee_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L^{\rm low}(\lambda).$$

The properties $L^{\rm up}(\lambda) \subset L^{\rm low}(\lambda)$ and $L^{\rm up}(\lambda)_\lambda = L^{\rm low}(\lambda)_\lambda$ imply the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11. $\mathbf{D}_\varphi L^{\rm up}$ *is the largest upper crystal lattice of* $\mathbf{D}_\varphi V$ *contained in the lower crystal lattice* $(L^{\rm up})^\vee$.

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$. Then $\big(L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) \otimes_+ L^{\mathrm{up}}(\mu)\big)^\vee = L^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda) \otimes_- L^{\mathrm{low}}(\mu)$ is a lower crystal lattice of $\mathbf{D}_\varphi\big(V(\lambda) \otimes_+ V(\mu)\big) \simeq V(\lambda) \otimes_- V(\mu)$. Let $\Xi_{\lambda,\mu} \colon V(\lambda) \otimes_+ V(\mu) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\lambda) \otimes_- V(\mu) \simeq \mathbf{D}_\varphi\big(V(\lambda) \otimes_+ V(\mu)\big)$ be the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphism defined by

$$\Xi_{\lambda,\mu}(u \otimes_+ v) = q^{(\lambda,\mu)-(\xi,\eta)}\big(u \otimes_- v\big) \quad \text{for } u \in V(\lambda)_\xi \text{ and } v \in V(\mu)_\eta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{L} &:= \Xi_{\lambda,\mu}\big(L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) \otimes_+ L^{\mathrm{up}}(\mu)\big) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\xi,\eta \in \mathsf{P}} q^{(\lambda,\mu)-(\xi,\eta)} L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)_\xi \otimes_- L^{\mathrm{up}}(\mu)_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

is an upper crystal lattice of $V(\lambda) \otimes_- V(\mu)$. Since we have $(\lambda,\mu) - (\xi,\eta) \geq 0$ for any $\xi \in \mathrm{wt}\big(V(\lambda)\big)$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{wt}\big(V(\mu)\big)$, Lemma 3.11 implies that

$$\widetilde{L} \subset \mathbf{D}_\varphi\big(L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) \otimes_+ L^{\mathrm{up}}(\mu)\big).$$

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in W$ such that $x_k \geq y_k$ $(k = 1, 2)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &q^{(\lambda,\mu)-(x_2\lambda, y_2\mu)}\Delta(x_1\lambda, x_2\lambda)\Delta(y_1\mu, y_2\mu) \\ &\qquad \equiv G^{\mathrm{up}}\big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\mu}^{-1}(u_{x_1\lambda} \otimes u_{y_1\mu}) \otimes \bar\iota_{\lambda,\mu}^{-1}(u_{x_2\lambda} \otimes u_{y_2\mu})^{\mathrm{r}}\big) \mod qL^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g})). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. By the definition, we have

$$\Delta(x_1\lambda, x_2\lambda)\Delta(y_1\mu, y_2\mu) = \Phi_{V(\lambda)\otimes_+ V(\mu)}\big((u_{x_1\lambda} \otimes_+ u_{y_1\mu}) \otimes (u_{x_2\lambda} \otimes_- u_{y_2\mu})^{\mathrm{r}}\big).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &q^{(\lambda,\mu)-(x_2\lambda, y_2\mu)}\Delta(x_1\lambda, x_2\lambda)\Delta(y_1\mu, y_2\mu) \\ &\quad = \Phi_{V(\lambda)\otimes_+ V(\mu)}\big((u_{x_1\lambda} \otimes_+ u_{y_1\mu}) \otimes q^{(\lambda,\mu)-(x_2\lambda, y_2\mu)}(u_{x_2\lambda} \otimes_- u_{y_2\mu})^{\mathrm{r}}\big) \\ &\quad = \Phi_{V(\lambda)\otimes_+ V(\mu)}\big((u_{x_1\lambda} \otimes_+ u_{y_1\mu}) \otimes \big(\Xi_{\lambda,\mu}(u_{x_2\lambda} \otimes_+ u_{y_2\mu})\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\big). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of (3.6) can be calculated as follows. Let us take $v_k \in L^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda + \mu)$ such that $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(v_k) - u_{x_k\lambda} \otimes_+ u_{y_k\mu} \in qL^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) \otimes_+ L^{\mathrm{up}}(\mu)$ for $k = 1, 2$. Here $\iota_{\lambda,\mu} \colon V(\lambda+\mu) \to V(\lambda) \otimes_+ V(\mu)$ denotes the canonical $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module homomorphism. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G^{\mathrm{up}}\Big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\mu}^{-1}(u_{x_1\lambda} \otimes u_{y_1\mu}) \otimes \big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\mu}^{-1}(u_{x_2\lambda} \otimes u_{y_2\mu})\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\Big) \\ &\qquad \equiv \Phi_{\lambda+\mu}(v_1 \otimes v_2^{\mathrm{r}}) \mod qL^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g})) \\ &\qquad = \Phi_{V(\lambda)\otimes_+ V(\mu)}\big(\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(v_1) \otimes \big(\Xi_{\lambda,\mu}\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(v_2)\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\big) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(v_1) \equiv u_{x_1\lambda} \otimes_+ u_{y_1\mu} \mod qL^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) \otimes_+ L^{\mathrm{up}}(\mu)$$

and

$$\Xi_{\lambda,\mu}\big(\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(v_2)\big) \equiv \Xi_{\lambda,\mu}(u_{x_2\lambda} \otimes_+ u_{y_2\mu}) \mod q\widetilde{L}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi_{V(\lambda)\otimes_+ V(\mu)}\big((u_{x_1\lambda}\otimes_+ u_{y_1\mu})\otimes \Xi_{\lambda,\mu}(u_{x_2\lambda}\otimes_+ u_{y_2\mu})^{\mathrm{r}}\big)\\ &\qquad\equiv \Phi_{V(\lambda)\otimes_+ V(\mu)}\big((\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(v_1)\otimes(\Xi_{\lambda,\mu}\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(v_2))^{\mathrm{r}}\big) \mod qL^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g})).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.13. *Let $\lambda\in\mathsf{P}^+$ and $x,y\in W$ such that $x\ge y$. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{D}(x\lambda,y\lambda)\mathrm{D}(y\lambda,\lambda)\equiv \mathrm{D}(x\lambda,\lambda) \mod qL^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n})).$$

Proof. Applying $p_{\mathfrak{n}}$ to (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{D}(x\lambda,y\lambda)\mathrm{D}(y\lambda,\lambda)\\ &\qquad\equiv p_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(G^{\mathrm{up}}(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{x\lambda}\otimes u_{y\lambda})\otimes\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{y\lambda}\otimes u_{\lambda})^{\mathrm{r}})\right) \mod qL^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n})).\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Hence the desired result follows from Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 3.14 below. □

Lemma 3.14. *Let $\lambda\in\mathsf{P}^+$ and $x,y\in W$ such that $x\ge y$. Then we have*

$$\bar\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{x\lambda}\otimes u_{y\lambda})\otimes\big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{y\lambda}\otimes u_{\lambda})\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\Big)=\bar\iota_{\lambda}(u_{x\lambda})\otimes t_{y\lambda+\lambda}\otimes b_{-\infty}.$$

Proof. We shall argue by induction on $\ell(x)$. We set $b_{x\lambda}=\bar\iota_\lambda(u_{x\lambda})$. Since the case $x=1$ is obvious, assume that $x\neq 1$. Take $i\in I$ such that $x':=s_ix<x$

(a) First assume that $s_iy>y$. Then we have $y\le x'$. Hence by the induction hypothesis,

$$\bar\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{x'\lambda}\otimes u_{y\lambda})\otimes\big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{y\lambda}\otimes u_{\lambda})\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\Big)=b_{x'\lambda}\otimes t_{y\lambda+\lambda}\otimes b_{-\infty}.\tag{3.8}$$

We have $\varphi_i(u_{x'\lambda})=\langle h_i,x'\lambda\rangle$ and $\varphi_i(b_{x'\lambda}\otimes t_{y\lambda+\lambda})=\langle h_i,x'\lambda\rangle+\langle h_i,y\lambda\rangle\ge\langle h_i,x'\lambda\rangle$. Hence, applying $\tilde f_i^{\langle h_i,x'\lambda\rangle}$ to (3.8), we obtain

$$\bar\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{x\lambda}\otimes u_{y\lambda})\otimes\big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{y\lambda}\otimes u_{\lambda})\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\Big)=b_{x\lambda}\otimes t_{y\lambda+\lambda}\otimes b_{-\infty}.$$

(b) Assume that $y':=s_iy<y$. Then we have $y'\le x'$, and the induction hypothesis implies that

$$\bar\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{x'\lambda}\otimes u_{y'\lambda})\otimes\big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{y'\lambda}\otimes u_{\lambda})\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\Big)=b_{x'\lambda}\otimes t_{y'\lambda+\lambda}\otimes b_{-\infty}.$$

We shall apply $\tilde e_i^{*\,\langle h_iy'\lambda\rangle}\tilde f_i^{\langle h_i,x'\lambda+y'\lambda\rangle}$ to the both sides. Then the left-hand side yields

$$\bar\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{x\lambda}\otimes u_{y\lambda})\otimes\big(\bar\iota_{\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}(u_{y\lambda}\otimes u_{\lambda})\big)^{\mathrm{r}}\Big).$$

Since $\varphi_i(b_{x'\lambda}\otimes t_{y'\lambda+\lambda})=\langle h_i,x'\lambda\rangle+\langle h_i,y'\lambda+\lambda\rangle\ge\langle h_i,x'\lambda+y'\lambda\rangle$, the right-hand side yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde e_i^{*\,\langle h_i,y'\lambda\rangle}\tilde f_i^{\langle h_i,x'\lambda+y'\lambda\rangle}\big(b_{x'\lambda}\otimes t_{y'\lambda+\lambda}\otimes b_{-\infty}\big)&=\tilde e_i^{*\,\langle h_i,y'\lambda\rangle}\big((\tilde f_i^{\langle h_i,x'\lambda+y'\lambda\rangle}b_{x'\lambda})\otimes t_{y'\lambda+\lambda}\otimes b_{-\infty}\big)\\ &=\tilde e_i^{*\,\langle h_i,y'\lambda\rangle}\big((\tilde f_i^{\langle h_i,y'\lambda\rangle}b_{x\lambda})\otimes t_{y'\lambda+\lambda}\otimes b_{-\infty}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon_i^*(b_{x\lambda}) = -\varphi_i(b_{x\lambda}) = \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle$ and $\tilde{f}_i^{\langle h_i, y'\lambda\rangle} b_{x\lambda} = \tilde{f}_i^{*\,\langle h_i, y'\lambda\rangle} b_{x\lambda}$, we have

$$\tilde{e}_i^{*\,\langle h_i, y'\lambda\rangle}\big((\tilde{f}_i^{\langle h_i, y'\lambda\rangle} b_{x\lambda}) \otimes t_{y'\lambda+\lambda} \otimes b_{-\infty}\big) = b_{x\lambda} \otimes t_{y\lambda+\lambda} \otimes b_{-\infty}.$$

$\square$

3.4. **Generalized T-systems.** The T-system in §3.2 can be interpreted as an equation among the three products of elements in $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$ or $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n}))$. In this subsection, we introduce another equation among the three products of elements in $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{g}))$, called *a generalized T-system.*

Proposition 3.15. *Let $\mu \in W\varpi_i$ and set $b = \overline{\iota}_{\varpi_i}(u_\mu) \in B(\infty)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\mu, s_i\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i) = {}& q^{-1} G^{\mathrm{up}}\left(\overline{\iota}^{-1}_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes \left(\overline{\iota}^{-1}_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(u_{s_i\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})\right)^{\mathrm{r}}\right) \\ & + G^{\mathrm{up}}\left(\overline{\iota}^{-1}_{\varpi_i+s_i\varpi_i}(\widetilde{e_i^* b}) \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{\varpi_i+s_i\varpi_i}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Note that if $\mu = \varpi_i$, then $b = 1$ and the last term in (3.9) vanishes. If $\mu \neq \varpi_i$, then $\varepsilon_i^*(b) = 1$ and $\overline{\iota}^{-1}_{\varpi_i+s_i\varpi_i}(\tilde{e}_i^* b) \in B(\varpi_i + s_i\varpi_i)$, and $u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i} \in \overline{\iota}_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i} B(2\varpi_i)$.

Proof. In the sequel, we omit $\overline{\iota}^{-1}_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}$ for the sake of simplicity. Set

$$u = \Delta(\mu, s_i\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i) - q^{-1} G^{\mathrm{up}}\left((u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{s_i\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right).$$

Then $\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{r}}(u) = \lambda := \varpi_i + s_i\varpi_i$.

It is obvious that we have $uf_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Since $\tilde{e}_i(u_{s_i\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) = u_{\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\mathrm{up}}\left((u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{s_i\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right) f_i &= G^{\mathrm{up}}\left((u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right) \\ &= G^{\mathrm{up}}(u_\mu \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{\varpi_i}) G^{\mathrm{up}}(u_{\varpi_i} \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_{\varpi_i}) \\ &= \Delta(\mu, \varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from Corollary 3.9. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Delta(\mu, s_i\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i)\right) f_i &= \left(\Delta(\mu, s_i\varpi_i) f_i\right)\left(\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i) t_i^{-1}\right) \\ &= q_i^{-1} \Delta(\mu, \varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $uf_i = 0$. Thus, u is a lowest weight vector of wight λ with respect to the right action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore there exists some $v \in V(\lambda)$ such that

$$u = \Phi(v \otimes u^{\mathrm{r}}_\lambda).$$

Hence we have $p_{\mathfrak{n}}(u) = \iota_\lambda(v) \in A_q(\mathfrak{n})$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(\Delta(\mu, s_i\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i)\right) &= p_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(\Delta(\mu, s_i\varpi_i)\right) p_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(\Delta(\varpi_i, \varpi_i)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{D}(\mu, s_i\varpi_i) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{e}_i^* b) \\ &= \iota_\lambda\left(G^{\mathrm{up}}_\lambda(\overline{\iota}_\lambda^{-1}(\tilde{e}_i^* b))\right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{e}_i^* b) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_j^*(\tilde{e}_i^* b) \le -\langle h_j, \alpha_i\rangle$ for $j \neq i$, we have $\tilde{e}_i^* b \in \overline{\iota}_\lambda(B(\lambda))$.

Hence in order to prove our assertion, it is enough to show that

$$p_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(G^{\mathrm{up}}\left((u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{s_i\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right) = 0.$$

This follows from Proposition 2.16 and

$$\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}\left((u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{s_i\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right) = b \otimes t_\lambda \otimes \tilde{e}_i b_{-\infty}. \tag{3.10}$$

Let us prove (3.10). Since

$$(u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{s_i\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}} = \tilde{e}_i^*\big((u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\big),$$

the left-hand side of (3.10) is equal to

$$\tilde{e}_i^*\left(\bar{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}\big((u_\mu \otimes u_{\varpi_i}) \otimes (u_{\varpi_i} \otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\big)\right) = \tilde{e}_i^*(b \otimes t_{2\varpi_i} \otimes b_{-\infty}).$$

Since $\varepsilon_i^*(b) = 1 < \langle h_i, 2\varpi_i\rangle = 2$, we obtain

$$\tilde{e}_i^*\left(b \otimes t_{2\varpi_i} \otimes b_{-\infty}\right) = b \otimes t_{2\varpi_i - \alpha_i} \otimes \tilde{e}_i^* b_{-\infty} = b \otimes t_\lambda \otimes \tilde{e}_i b_{-\infty}.$$

□

4. KLR algebras and their modules

4.1. KLR algebras and R-matrices. In this subsection, we briefly recall the basic materials of symmetric KLR algebras and R-matrices following [10]. For precise definitions in this subsection, we refer [8, 9, 10]. In this paper, for the sake of simplicity, KLR *algebras are always assumed to be symmetric* although some of results in this paper hold also in the non-symmetric case. We consider only graded modules over KLR algebras. Hence *we write "a module" instead of "a graded module". We sometimes omit the grading shift if there is no afraid of confusion.*

Let the quintuple $(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{P}, \Pi, \mathsf{P}^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ be a symmetric Cartan datum and let $\mathbf{k}$ be a base field. Hence we assume that the generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$ is equal to $\big((\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\big)_{i,j\in I}$. For $i, j \in I$ such that $i \neq j$, let us take a family of polynomials $(Q_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$ in $\mathbf{k}[u, v]$ such that $Q_{i,i} = 0$ and

$$Q_{i,j}(u, v) = c_{i,j}(u - v)^{-a_{i,j}} \quad \text{for } i \neq j \tag{4.1}$$

with $c_{i,j} \in \mathbf{k}^\times$. We assume

$$Q_{i,j}(u, v) = Q_{j,i}(v, u).$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ such that $|\beta| = n$, we set

$$I^\beta = \{\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in I^n \mid \alpha_{\nu_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu_n} = \beta\}.$$

For $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$, we denote by $R(\beta)$ the KLR algebra at β associated with $(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{P}, \Pi, \mathsf{P}^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ and $(Q_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$. It is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$-algebra generated by the generators $\{e(\nu)\}_{\nu\in I^\beta}$, $\{x_k\}_{1\le k\le n}$, $\{\tau_m\}_{1\le m\le n-1}$ with certain defining relations (e.g., see [10, Definition 1.2]). Note that the isomorphism class of $R(\beta)$ does not depend on the choice of $c_{i,j}$'s.

We denote by $R(\beta)$-Mod the category of $R(\beta)$-modules and by $R(\beta)$-mod the category of $R(\beta)$-modules M which are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}$ and the action of x_k on M is nilpotent for any k.

Similarly, we also denote by $R(\beta)$-gMod and by $R(\beta)$-gmod the category of graded $R(\beta)$-modules and the category of graded $R(\beta)$-modules finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}$, respectively.

For $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ and $M \in R(\beta)$-Mod, we set

$$\mathrm{wt}(M) = -\beta.$$

We set

$$R\text{-gmod} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+} R(\beta)\text{-gmod}.$$

Then R-gmod is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear abelian monoidal category, whose tensor product is given by the *convolution product* $\circ$.

Let us denote by $K(R\text{-gmod})$ the Grothendieck group of R-gmod. Then $K(R\text{-gmod})$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-algebra whose multiplication is induced by the convolution product and the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-action is induced by the *grading shift functor* q.

For $M \in R(\beta)$-mod, the dual space $M^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(M, \mathbf{k})$ admits an $R(\beta)$-module structure via

$$(r \cdot f)(u) := f(\psi(r)u) \quad (r \in R(\beta),\ u \in M),$$

where ψ denotes the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra anti-involution on $R(\beta)$ which fixes the generators $e(\nu)$, x_m and τ_k for $\nu \in I^\beta, 1 \le m \le |\beta|$ and $1 \le k \le |\beta| - 1$.

It is known that (see [26, Theorem 2.2 (2)])

$$(M_1 \circ M_2)^* \simeq q^{(\mathrm{wt}(M_1),\mathrm{wt}(M_2))}(M_2^* \circ M_1^*) \qquad \text{for } M_1, M_2 \in R\text{-gmod}. \tag{4.2}$$

A simple module M is called *self-dual* if $M^* \simeq M$. Every simple module is isomorphic to a grading shift of a self-dual simple module ([16, §.3.2]).

Theorem 4.1 ([16, 29])**.** *There exists a* $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{ch}\colon \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+} K(R(\beta)\text{-gmod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_q(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}. \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.2 ([29, 30])**.** *We assume further that* $\mathbf{k}$ *has characteristic* 0*. Then under the isomorphism* ch *in* (4.3)*, the upper global basis* $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(A_q(\mathfrak{n}))$ *corresponds to the set of the isomorphism classes of self-dual simple* R*-modules.*

Let z be an indeterminate which is homogeneous of degree 2, and let ψ_z be the algebra homomorphism

$$\psi_z\colon R(\beta) \to \mathbf{k}[z] \otimes R(\beta)$$

given by

$$\psi_z(x_k) = x_k + z, \quad \psi_z(\tau_k) = \tau_k, \quad \psi_z(e(\nu)) = e(\nu).$$

For an $R(\beta)$-module M, we denote by M_z the $\big(\mathbf{k}[z] \otimes R(\beta)\big)$-module $\mathbf{k}[z] \otimes M$ with the action of $R(\beta)$ twisted by ψ_z.

For a non-zero $R(\beta)$-module M and a non-zero $R(\gamma)$-module N,

(4.4) let s be the order of zero of $R_{M_z,N}\colon M_z \circ N \longrightarrow N \circ M_z$; i.e., the largest non-negative integer such that the image of $R_{M_z,N}$ is contained in $z^s N \circ M_z$,

where $R_{M,N}$ is the R-matrix from $M \circ N$ to $N \circ M$ constructed in [8].

Definition 4.3. For a non-zero $R(\beta)$-module M and a non-zero $R(\gamma)$-module N,

$$R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{M,N} \colon M_z \circ N \to N \circ M_z \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}_{M,N} \colon M \circ N \to N \circ M$$

by

$$R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{M,N} = z^{-s} R_{M_z,N} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}_{M,N} = \bigl(z^{-s} R_{M_z,N}\bigr)|_{z=0},$$

where s is the integer in (4.4).

For $M, N \in R$-gmod, $\Lambda(M,N)$ denotes the homogeneous degree of the morphisms $R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{M_z,N}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{M,N}$. Hence we have a morphism in R-gmod:

$$q^{\Lambda(M,N)} M \circ N \longrightarrow N \circ M.$$

We say that a simple R-module M is *real* if $M \circ M$ is simple again.

The following theorem is the main result of [9]

Theorem 4.4 ([9, Theorem 3.2])**.** *Let M and N be simple modules. We assume that one of them is real. Then we have:*

(i) *$M \circ N$ has a simple head and a simple socle,*
(ii) *$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_{M \circ N})$ coincides with the head of $M \circ N$ and the socle of $N \circ M$ (up to grading shifts).*

We also have the following

Proposition 4.5. *Let M and N be simple modules. We assume that one of them is real. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(M \circ N, N \circ M) = \mathbf{k}\, \mathbf{r}_{M,N}.$$

Proof. Since the other case can be proved similarly, we assume that M is real. Let $f \colon M \circ N \to N \circ M$ be a morphism. Then we have a commutative diagram (up to a constant multiple)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \circ M \circ N \arrow[r, "M \circ \mathbf{r}_{M,N}"] \arrow[d, "M \circ f"'] & M \circ N \circ M \arrow[d, "f \circ M"'] \\
M \circ N \circ M \arrow[r, "\mathbf{r}_{M,N} \circ M"] & N \circ M \circ M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\mathbf{r}_{M,\, M \circ N} = M \circ \mathbf{r}_{M,N}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{M,\, N \circ M} = \mathbf{r}_{M,N} \circ M$. Hence we have

$$M \circ \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_{M,N}) \subset f^{-1}\bigl(\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_{M,N})\bigr) \circ M.$$

Hence there exists a submodule K of N such that $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_{M,N}) \subset K \circ M$ and $M \circ K \subset f^{-1}\bigl(\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_{M,N})\bigr)$. Since $K \neq 0$, we have $K = N$. Hence $f(M \circ N) \subset \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{r}_{M,N})$, which means that f factors as $M \circ N \to \mathrm{soc}(N \circ M) \rightarrowtail N \circ M$. It remains to remark that $\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}\bigl(M \circ N, \mathrm{soc}(N \circ M)\bigr) = \mathbf{k}\, \mathbf{r}_{M,N}$. □

For simple modules M N, let us denote by $M \diamond N$ the head of $M \circ N$.

4.2. Properties of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(M,N)$ and $\mathfrak{d}(M,N)$.

Lemma 4.6 ([10, Lemma 2.9])**.** *Let M and N be non-zero modules. Then we have*

1. $\Lambda(M,N)+\Lambda(N,M)\in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$.
2. *Setting $\Lambda(M,N)+\Lambda(N,M)=2m$ with $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, we have*
$$R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{M_z,N}\circ R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{N,M_z}=z^m\mathrm{id}_{N\,\circ\,M_z}\quad\textit{and}\quad R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{N,M_z}\circ R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{M_z,N}=z^m\mathrm{id}_{M_z\,\circ\,N}$$
up to constant multiples.

By [10, Lemma 2.5] and the above lemma, we can define the following integers:

Definition 4.7 ([10])**.** Let M and N be non-zero modules.

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(M,N):=\big((\mathrm{wt}(M),\mathrm{wt}(N))+\Lambda(M,N)\big)/2\in\mathbb{Z},$$
$$\mathfrak{d}(M,N):=\big(\Lambda(M,N)+\Lambda(N,M)\big)/2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}.$$

Lemma 4.8 ([10])**.** *Let M and N be simple modules. Assume that one of them is real. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{d}(M,N)=0$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{r}_{M,N}$ *and* $\mathbf{r}_{N,M}$ *are inverse to each other up to a constant multiple,*
- (iii) $M\circ N\simeq N\circ M$ *up to a grading shift,*
- (iv) $M\diamond N\simeq N\diamond M$ *up to a grading shift,*
- (v) $M\circ N$ *is simple.*

Definition 4.9. Let M and N be simple modules.

- (i) We say that M and N *commute* if $\mathfrak{d}(M,N)=0$.
- (ii) We say that M and N are *simply linked* if $\mathfrak{d}(M,N)=1$.

Definition 4.10. Let $M_1,\dots,M_m$ be simple modules. Assume that they commute with each other. We set

$$\begin{aligned}M_1\odot M_2&:=q^{\widetilde{\Lambda}(M_1,M_2)}M_1\circ M_2,\\ \bigodot_{1\le k\le m}M_k&:=(\cdots(M_1\odot M_2)\cdots)\odot M_{m-1})\odot M_m\\ &\simeq q^{\sum_{1\le i<j\le m}\widetilde{\Lambda}(M_i,M_j)}M_1\circ\cdots\circ M_m.\end{aligned}$$

It is invariant by the permutations of $M_1,\dots,M_m$.

Lemma 4.11 ([10, Lemma 2.15])**.** *Let $M_1,\dots,M_m$ be real simple modules commuting with each other. Then for any $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m$, we have*

$$\bigodot_{1\le k\le m}M_k\simeq\bigodot_{1\le k\le m}M_{\sigma(k)}\qquad\textit{in } R\text{-gmod}.$$

Moreover, if M_k's are self-dual, so is $\bigodot_{1\le k\le m}M_k$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\{M_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$ and $\{N_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$ be a pair of commuting families of real simple modules. We assume that*

(a) *$\{M_i \diamond N_i\}_{1\le i\le n}$ is a commuting family of real simple modules,*
(b) *$M_i \diamond N_i$ commutes with N_j for any $1 \le i, j \le n$.*

Then we have

$$(\mathop{\circ}_{1\le i\le n} M_i) \diamond (\mathop{\circ}_{1\le j\le n} N_j) \simeq \mathop{\circ}_{1\le i\le n} (M_i \diamond N_i) \quad \textit{up to a grading shift}$$

Since the proof is elementary and similar to the proof of [10, Lemma 2.23], we omit it.

Proposition 4.13. *Let L, M and N be simple modules. We assume that L is real and one of M and N is real.*

(i) *If $\Lambda(L, M \diamond N) = \Lambda(L, M) + \Lambda(L, N)$, then $L \circ M \circ N$ has a simple head and $N \circ M \circ L$ has a simple socle.*
(ii) *If $\Lambda(M \diamond N, L) = \Lambda(M, L) + \Lambda(N, L)$, then $M \circ N \circ L$ has a simple head and $L \circ N \circ M$ has a simple socle.*
(iii) *If $\mathfrak{d}(L, M \diamond N) = \mathfrak{d}(L, M) + \mathfrak{d}(L, N)$, then $L \circ M \circ N$ and $M \circ N \circ L$ have a simple head, and $N \circ M \circ L$ and $L \circ N \circ M$ have a simple socle.*

Proof. (i) Denote $k = \Lambda(L, M \diamond N) = \Lambda(L, M) + \Lambda(M, N)$ and $m = \Lambda(M, N)$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \circ M \circ N \arrow[r, "\mathbf{r}_{L,M\circ N}"] \arrow[d, two heads] & q^{-k} M \circ N \circ L \arrow[d, two heads] \\
L \circ (M \diamond N) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{r}_{L,M\diamond N}"] \arrow[d, tail] & q^{-k}(M \diamond N) \circ L \arrow[d, tail] \\
q^{-m} L \circ N \circ M \arrow[r, "\mathbf{r}_{L,N\circ M}"] & q^{-k-m} N \circ M \circ L
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Hence [10, Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2] implies that $L \circ M \circ N$ has a simple head and $N \circ M \circ L$ has a simple socle. (ii) are proved similarly.

(iii) If $\mathfrak{d}(L, M \diamond N) = \mathfrak{d}(L, M) + \mathfrak{d}(L, N)$, the we have $\Lambda(L, M \diamond N) = \Lambda(L, M) + \Lambda(L, N)$ and $\Lambda(M \diamond N, L) = \Lambda(M, L) + \Lambda(N, L)$. Thus the third assertion follows from the first and second assertion. □

Proposition 4.14. *Let M and N be simple modules. Assume that one of them is real and $\mathfrak{d}(M, N) = 1$. Then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to q^{\Lambda(N,M)} N \diamond M \to M \circ N \to M \diamond N \to 0.$$

In particular, $M \circ N$ has length 2.

Proof. In the course of the proof, we ignore the grading.

Set $X = M_z \circ N$ and $Y = N \circ M_z$. By $R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{N,M_z} \colon Y \rightarrowtail X$ let us regard Y as a submodule of X. By the condition, we have $R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{N,M_z} \circ R^{\mathrm{ren}}_{M_z,N} = z\mathrm{id}_X$ up to a constant multiple, and hence we have

$$zX \subset Y \subset X.$$

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{Y}{zX} \longrightarrow \frac{X}{zX} \longrightarrow \frac{X}{Y} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$M \circ N \simeq \frac{X}{zX} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{X}{Y} \rightarrowtail \frac{z^{-1}Y}{Y} \simeq N \circ M,$$

we have $\dfrac{X}{Y} \simeq M \diamond N$. Similarly

$$N \circ M \simeq \frac{Y}{zY} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{Y}{zX} \rightarrowtail \frac{X}{zX} \simeq M \circ N$$

implies that $\dfrac{Y}{zX} \simeq N \diamond M$. □

Lemma 4.15. *Let M and N be simple modules. Assume that one of them is real. If the equation*

$$[M][N] = q^m[X] + q^n[Y]$$

holds in $K(R\text{-gmod})$ for self-dual simple modules X, Y and integers m, n such that $m \geq n$, then we have

(i) *$\mathfrak{d}(M, N) = m - n > 0$,*

(ii) *there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow q^m X \longrightarrow M \circ N \longrightarrow q^n Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

(iii) *$q^m X$ is a socle of $M \circ N$ and $q^n Y$ is a head of $M \circ N$.*

Proof. First note that $\mathfrak{d}(M, N) > 0$ since $M \circ N$ is not simple. By the assumption there exists either an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow q^m X \longrightarrow M \circ N \longrightarrow q^n Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

or

$$0 \longrightarrow q^n Y \longrightarrow M \circ N \longrightarrow q^m X \longrightarrow 0.$$

The last sequence cannot exist by [10, Corollary 2.24] because $\mathfrak{d}(M, N) = n - m \leq 0$. Hence the first sequence exists, and the assertions follow from loc.cit. □

4.3. **Chevalley and Kashiwara operators.** Let us recall the definition of several functors used to categorify $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by using KLR algebras.

Definition 4.16. Let $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$.

(i) For $i \in I$ and $1 \leq a \leq |\beta|$, set

$$e_a(i) = \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta, \nu_a = i} e(\nu) \in R(\beta).$$

(ii) We take conventions:

$$E_iM = e_1(i)M,$$
$$E_i^*M = e_{|\beta|}(i)M,$$

which are functors from $R(\beta)$-gmod to $R(\beta-\alpha_i)$-gmod.

(iii) For a simple module M, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_i(M) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid E_i^nM \ne 0\},\\
&\varepsilon_i^*(M) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid E_i^{*\,n}M \ne 0\},\\
&\widetilde{F}_iM = q^{\varepsilon_i(M)}L(i)\diamond M,\\
&\widetilde{F}_i^*M = q^{\varepsilon_i^*(M)}M\diamond L(i),\\
&\widetilde{E}_iM = q^{1-\varepsilon_i(M)}\mathrm{soc}(E_iM) \simeq q^{\varepsilon_i(M)-1}\mathrm{hd}(E_iM),\\
&\widetilde{E}_i^*M = q^{1-\varepsilon_i^*(M)}\mathrm{soc}(E_i^*M) \simeq q^{\varepsilon_i^*(M)-1}\mathrm{hd}(E_i^*M),\\
&\widetilde{E}_i^{\max}M = \widetilde{E}_i^{\varepsilon_i(M)}M \quad\text{and}\quad \widetilde{E}_i^{*\,\max}M = \widetilde{E}_i^{*\,\varepsilon_i^*(M)}M.
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) For $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, we set

$$L(i^n) = q^{n(n-1)/2}\,\underbrace{L(i)\circ\cdots\circ L(i)}_{n}.$$

Here $L(i)$ denotes the $R(\alpha_i)$-module $R(\alpha_i)/R(\alpha_i)x_1$. Then $L(i^n)$ is a self-dual real simple $R(n\alpha_i)$-module.

In the course of the following propositions, we use the following notations.

$$\overline{Q}_{i,j}(x_a, x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}) := \frac{Q_{i,j}(x_a, x_{a+1}) - Q_{i,j}(x_{a+2}, x_{a+1})}{x_a - x_{a+2}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then we have

$$\tau_{a+1}\tau_a\tau_{a+1} - \tau_a\tau_{a+1}\tau_a = \sum_{i,j\in I}\overline{Q}_{i,j}(x_a, x_{a+1}, x_{a+2})e_a(i)e_{a+1}(j)e_{a+2}(i).$$

Proposition 4.17. *Let $\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^+$ with $n = |\beta|$. Assume that an $R(\beta)$-module M satisfies $E_iM = 0$. Then the morphism $R(\alpha_i)\otimes M \longrightarrow q^{(\alpha_i,\beta)}M\circ R(\alpha_i)$ given by*

$$e(i)\otimes u \longmapsto \tau_1\cdots\tau_n(u\otimes e(i)) \tag{4.6}$$

extends uniquely to an $(R(\alpha_i+\beta), R(\alpha_i))$-bilinear homomorphism

$$R(\alpha_i)\circ M \longrightarrow q^{(\alpha_i,\beta)}M\circ R(\alpha_i) \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. (i) First note that, for $1 \le a \le n$,

$$\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}e_a(i)\tau_{a+1}\cdots\tau_n\big(u\otimes e(i)\big) = \tau_{a+1}\cdots\tau_n\big(e_1(i)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}(u\otimes e(i))\big) = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

since $E_iM = 0$.
(ii) In order to see that (4.7) is a well-defined $R(\alpha_i + \beta)$-linear homomorphism, it is enough to show that (4.6) is $R(\beta)$-linear.
(a) Commutation with $x_a \in R(\beta)$ $(1 \le a \le n)$: We have

$$\begin{aligned}x_{a+1}\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big) &= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1} x_{a+1} \tau_a \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1}\big(\tau_a x_a + e_a(i)\big)\tau_{a+1} \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n x_a\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\end{aligned}$$

by (4.8).
(b) Commutation with $\tau_a \in R(\beta)$ $(1 \le a < n)$: Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_{a+1}\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &\quad= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1}(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a\tau_{a+1})\tau_{a+2} \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &\quad= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1}\Big(\tau_a\tau_{a+1}\tau_a + \sum_j \overline{Q}_{i,j}(x_a, x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}) e_a(i) e_{a+1}(j)\Big)\tau_{a+2} \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &\quad= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n \tau_a\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &\qquad\quad+ \sum_j \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1}\overline{Q}_{i,j}(x_a, x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}) e_a(i) e_{a+1}(j) \tau_{a+2} \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big).\end{aligned}$$

The last term vanishes because $E_iM = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1} f(x_a, x_{a+1}) g(x_{a+2}) e_a(i) \tau_{a+2} \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &\qquad\qquad= g(x_{a+2})\tau_{a+2} \cdots \tau_n e_1(i) \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1} f(x_a, x_{a+1})\big(u \otimes e(i)\big) = 0\end{aligned}$$

for any polynomial $f(x_a, x_{a+1})$ and $g(x_{a+2})$.
(iii) Now let us show that (4.7) is right $R(\alpha_i)$-linear. By (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1} x_a \tau_a \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big) &= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{a-1}\big(\tau_a x_{a+1} - e_a(i)\big)\tau_{a+1} \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\\ &= \tau_1 \cdots \tau_a x_{a+1} \tau_{a+1} \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big)\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le a \le n$. Therefore we have

$$x_1 \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i)\big) = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n x_{n+1}\big(u \otimes e(i)\big) = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n\big(u \otimes e(i) x_1\big).$$

□

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, let us denote by $w[m,n]$ the element of $\mathfrak{S}_{m+n}$ defined by

$$w[m,n](k) = \begin{cases} k+n & \text{if } 1 \le k \le m,\\ k-m & \text{if } m < k \le m+n.\end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Set $\tau_{w[m,n]} := \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_r}$, where $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ is a reduced expression of $w[m,n]$. Note that $\tau_{w[m,n]}$ does not depend on the choice of reduced expression ([8, Corollary 1.4.3]).

Proposition 4.18. *Let $M \in R(\beta)$-gmod and $N \in R(\gamma)$-gmod, and set $m = |\beta|$ and $n = |\gamma|$. If $E_i M = 0$ for any $i \in \operatorname{supp}(\gamma)$, then*

$$v \otimes u \longmapsto \tau_{w[m,n]}(u \otimes v) \tag{4.10}$$

gives a well-defined $R(\beta+\gamma)$-linear homomorphism $N \circ M \longrightarrow q^{(\beta,\gamma)} M \circ N$.

Proof. The proceeding proposition implies that

$$v \otimes u \longmapsto \tau_{w[m,n]}(u \otimes v) \quad \text{for } u \in M, v \in R(\gamma)$$

gives a well-defined $R(\beta+\gamma)$-linear homomorphism $R(\gamma) \circ M \to M \circ R(\gamma)$. Hence it is enough to show that it is right $R(\gamma)$-linear. Since we know that it commutes with the right multiplication of x_k, it is enough to show that it commutes with the right multiplication of τ_k. For this, we may assume that $n = 2$ and $k = 1$. Set $\gamma = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$.

Thus we have reduced the problem to the equality

(4.11)
$$\tau_1(\tau_2\tau_1)\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big) = (\tau_2\tau_1)\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\tau_{m+1}\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)$$

for $u \in M$. It is a consequence of

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_2\tau_1)\cdots(\tau_a\tau_{a-1})\tau_a(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a)\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)\\ = (\tau_2\tau_1)\cdots(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a)\tau_{a+1}(\tau_{a+2}\tau_{a+1})\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for $1 \le a \le m$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_a(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a)\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)\\ &\qquad = \tau_a(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a)e_{a+1}(i)e_{a+2}(j)(\tau_{a+2}\tau_{a+1})\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_a(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a)e_{a+1}(i)e_{a+2}(j)\\ &\qquad = (\tau_{a+1}\tau_a)\tau_{a+1}e_{a+1}(i)e_{a+2}(j) - \overline{Q}_{ji}(x_a, x_{a+1}, x_{a+2})e_a(j)e_{a+1}(i)e_{a+2}(j).\end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to show

$$\begin{aligned}&(\tau_2\tau_1)\cdots(\tau_a\tau_{a-1})\overline{Q}_{j,i}(x_a, x_{a+1}, x_{a+2})e_a(j)\\ &\qquad (\tau_{a+2}\tau_{a+1})\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned}&(\tau_2\tau_1)\cdots(\tau_a\tau_{a-1})f(x_a)g(x_{a+1}, x_{a+2})e_a(j)(\tau_{a+2}\tau_{a+1})\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)\\ &\qquad = (\tau_2\cdots\tau_a)(\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1})f(x_a)g(x_{a+1}, x_{a+2})e_a(j)\\ &\qquad\qquad (\tau_{a+2}\tau_{a+1})\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)\\ &\qquad = (\tau_2\cdots\tau_a)g(x_{a+1}, x_{a+2})(\tau_{a+2}\tau_{a+1})\cdots(\tau_{m+1}\tau_m)\\ &\qquad\qquad e_1(j)(\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1})f(x_a)\big(u \otimes e(i) \otimes e(j)\big)\\ &\qquad = 0\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le a \le m$ and $f(x_a) \in \mathbf{k}[x_a]$, $g(x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}) \in \mathbf{k}[x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}]$. $\square$

Corollary 4.19. *Let $i \in I$ and M a simple module. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Lambda}\big(L(i), M\big) &= \varepsilon_i(M), \\ \Lambda\big(L(i), M\big) &= 2\varepsilon_i(M) + \langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(M)\rangle = \varepsilon_i(M) + \varphi_i(M). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $n = \varepsilon_i(M)$ and $M_0 = E_i^{(n)}(M)$. Then the preceding proposition implies $\Lambda(L(i), M_0) = \big(\alpha_i, \mathrm{wt}(M_0)\big)$. Hence we have $\widetilde{\Lambda}(L(i), M_0) = 0$, which implies

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(L(i), M) = \widetilde{\Lambda}(L(i), L(i^n) \circ M_0) = \widetilde{\Lambda}\big(L(i), L(i^n)\big) + \widetilde{\Lambda}(L(i), M_0) = n.$$

□

Let $P(i^n)$ be a projective cover of $L(i^n)$. The functor

$$E_i^{(n)} : R(\beta)\text{-Mod} \to R(\beta - n\alpha_i)\text{-Mod}$$

is defined by

$$E_i^{(n)}(M) := P(i^n)^{\psi} \underset{R(n\alpha_i)}{\otimes} E_i^n M,$$

where $P(i^n)^{\psi}$ denotes the right $R(n\alpha_i)$-module obtained from the left $R(\beta)$-module $P(i^n)$ via the anti-automorphism ψ. Similarly we define $E_i^{*\,(n)}$. We have

$$E_i^n \simeq [n]! E_i^{(n)}.$$

Proposition 4.20. *Let M and N be modules, and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,*

(i) *If $E_i^{m+1} M = 0$ and $E_i^{n+1} N = 0$, then we have*

$$E_i^{(m+n)}(M \circ N) \simeq q^{mn + n\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(M)\rangle} E_i^{(m)} M \circ E_i^{(n)} N. \tag{4.13}$$

(ii) *If $E_i^{*\,m+1} M = 0$ and $E_i^{*\,n+1} N = 0$, then we have*

$$E_i^{*\,(m+n)}(M \circ N) \simeq q^{mn + m\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(N)\rangle} E_i^{*\,(m)} M \circ E_i^{*\,(n)} N. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. It follows from the shuffle lemma ([16, Lemma 2.20]). □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.20.

Corollary 4.21. *Let $i \in I$ and let M be a real simple module. Then $\widetilde{E}_i^{\max} M$ is also real simple.*

Proposition 4.22. *Let M and N be simple modules. We assume that one of them is real. Assume further that $\varepsilon_i(M \diamond N) = \varepsilon_i(M)$. Then we have an isomorphism in* R-gmod.

$$\widetilde{E}_i^{\max}(M \diamond N) \simeq (\widetilde{E}_i^{\max} M) \diamond N.$$

Proof. Set $n = \varepsilon_i(M \diamond N) = \varepsilon_i(M)$ and $M_0 = \widetilde{E}_i^{\max} M$. Then M_0 or N is real. Now we have

$$L(i^n) \otimes M_0 \otimes N \rightarrowtail E_i^n(M \diamond N) \simeq L(i^n) \otimes \widetilde{E}_i^{\max}(M \diamond N),$$

which induces a non-zero map $M_0 \otimes N \to \widetilde{E}_i^{\max}(M \diamond N)$. Hence we have a surjective map

$$M_0 \circ N \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{E}_i^{\max}(M \diamond N).$$

Since M_0 or N is real by Corollary 4.21, $M_0 \circ N$ has a simple head and we obtain the desired result. □

4.4. **Determinantial modules and T-systems.** In the rest of this paper, we assume further that *the base field* $\mathbf{k}$ *is of characteristic* 0. Under this condition, the family of self-dual simple R-modules corresponds to the upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ by Theorem 4.2.

Definition 4.23. For $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$ and $\mu, \zeta \in W\lambda$ such that $\mu \preceq \zeta$, let $\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)$ be a simple $R(\zeta - \mu)$-module such that $\mathrm{ch}(\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)) = \mathrm{D}(\mu, \zeta)$.

Since $\mathrm{D}(\mu, \zeta)$ is a member of the upper global basis, such a module exists uniquely due to Theorem 4.2. The module $\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)$ is self-dual and we call it *the determinantial module.*

Lemma 4.24. $\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)$ *is a real simple module.*

Proof. It follows from $\mathrm{ch}(\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta) \circ \mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)) = \mathrm{ch}\big(\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)\big)^2 = q^{-(\zeta, \zeta - \mu)}\mathrm{D}(2\mu, 2\zeta)$ which is a member of the upper global basis up to a power of q. Here the last equality follows from Corollary 3.3. □

Proposition 4.25. *Let* $\lambda, \mu \in \mathsf{P}^+$, *and* $s, s', t, t' \in W$ *such that* $\ell(s's) = \ell(s') + \ell(s)$, $\ell(t't) = \ell(t') + \ell(t)$, $s's\lambda \preceq t'\lambda$ *and* $s'\mu \preceq t't\mu$. *Then*

(i) $\mathsf{M}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda)$ *and* $\mathsf{M}(s'\mu, t't\mu)$ *commute,*

(ii) $\Lambda\big(\mathsf{M}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda), \mathsf{M}(s'\mu, t't\mu)\big) = (s's\lambda + t'\lambda,\ t't\mu - s'\mu)$.

(iii) $\widetilde{\Lambda}\big(\mathsf{M}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda), \mathsf{M}(s'\mu, t't\mu)\big) = (t'\lambda,\ t't\mu - s'\mu)$ *and*
$\widetilde{\Lambda}\big(\mathsf{M}(s'\mu, t't\mu), \mathsf{M}(s's\lambda, t'\lambda)\big) = (s'\mu - t't\mu,\ s's\lambda)$.

Proof. It is a consequence of Proposition 3.6 (ii) and [10, Corollary 3.4]. □

Proposition 4.26. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$, $\mu, \zeta \in W\lambda$ *such that* $\mu \preceq \zeta$ *and* $i \in I$.

(i) *If* $n := \langle h_i, \mu \rangle \geq 0$, *then*

$$\varepsilon_i(\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathsf{M}(s_i\mu, \zeta) \simeq \widetilde{F}_i^{n}\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta) \simeq L(i^n) \diamond \mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta) \ \textit{in } R\text{-gmod}.$$

(ii) *If* $\langle h_i, \mu \rangle \leq 0$ *and* $s_i\mu \preceq \zeta$, *then* $\varepsilon_i(\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)) = -\langle h_i, \mu \rangle$.

(iii) *If* $m := -\langle h_i, \zeta \rangle \leq 0$, *then*

$$\varepsilon_i^*(\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathsf{M}(\mu, s_i\zeta) \simeq \widetilde{F}_i^{*\,m}\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta) \simeq \mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta) \diamond L(i^m) \quad \textit{in } R\text{-gmod}.$$

(iv) *If* $\langle h_i, \zeta \rangle \geq 0$ *and* $\mu \preceq s_i\zeta$, *then* $\varepsilon_i^*(\mathsf{M}(\mu, \zeta)) = \langle h_i, \zeta \rangle$.

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 3.5. ☐

Proposition 4.27. *Assume that* $u, v \in W$ *and* $i \in I$ *satisfy* $u < us_i$ *and* $v < vs_i \leq u$.

(i) *We have exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned}0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{M}(u\lambda, v\lambda) &\longrightarrow q^{(vs_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i - u\varpi_i)}\mathsf{M}(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) \circ \mathsf{M}(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) \\ &\longrightarrow q^{-1+(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - u\varpi_i)}\mathsf{M}(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) \circ \mathsf{M}(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) \longrightarrow 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}0 \longrightarrow q^{1+(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - u\varpi_i)}&\mathsf{M}(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) \circ \mathsf{M}(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) \\ &\longrightarrow q^{(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - us_i\varpi_i)}\mathsf{M}(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) \circ \mathsf{M}(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i) \longrightarrow \mathsf{M}(u\lambda, v\lambda) \longrightarrow 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where $\lambda = s_i\varpi_i + \varpi_i = \sum_{j \neq i} -a_{j,i}\varpi_j$.

(ii) $\mathfrak{d}\big(\mathsf{M}(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i), \mathsf{M}(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i)\big) = 1$.

Proof. Since the proof of (4.15) is similar, let us only prove (4.16). (Indeed, they are dual to each other.)

Set

$$\begin{aligned}X &= q^{(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - u\varpi_i)}\mathsf{M}(us_i\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) \circ \mathsf{M}(u\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i), \\ Y &= q^{(v\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i - us_i\varpi_i)}\mathsf{M}(u\varpi_i, v\varpi_i) \circ \mathsf{M}(us_i\varpi_i, vs_i\varpi_i), \\ Z &= \mathsf{M}(u\lambda, v\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Then Proposition 3.10 implies that

$$\mathrm{ch}(Y) = \mathrm{ch}(qX) + \mathrm{ch}(Z).$$

Since X and Z are simple and self-dual, the assertion follows from Lemma 4.15. ☐

4.5. Generalized T-system on determinantial modules.

Theorem 4.28. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathsf{P}^+$ *and* $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in W\lambda$ *such that* $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_2 \preceq \mu_3$. *Then there exists a canonical epimorphism*

$$\mathsf{M}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \circ \mathsf{M}(\mu_2, \mu_3) \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{M}(\mu_1, \mu_3),$$

which is equivalent to saying that $\mathsf{M}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \diamond \mathsf{M}(\mu_2, \mu_3) \simeq \mathsf{M}(\mu_1, \mu_3)$.

In particular, we have

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathsf{M}(\mu_1, \mu_2), \mathsf{M}(\mu_2, \mu_3)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(\mathsf{M}(\mu_1, \mu_2), \mathsf{M}(\mu_2, \mu_3)) = -(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \mu_2 - \mu_3).$$

Proof. (a) Our assertion follows from Theorem 3.13 and [10, Theorem 3.6] when $\mu_3 = \lambda$.

(b) We shall prove the general case by induction on $|\lambda - \mu_3|$. By (a), we may assume that $\mu_3 \neq \lambda$. Then there exists i such that $\langle h_i, \mu_3 \rangle < 0$. The induction hypothesis implies that

$$\mathsf{M}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \diamond \mathsf{M}(\mu_2, s_i\mu_3) \simeq \mathsf{M}(\mu_1, s_i\mu_3).$$

Since $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_2 \preceq \mu_3 \preceq s_i\mu_3$, Proposition 4.26 (iv) implies that

$$\varepsilon_i^*\big(\mathsf{M}(\mu_2, s_i\mu_3)\big) = \varepsilon_i^*\big(\mathsf{M}(\mu_1, s_i\mu_3)\big) = -\langle h_i, \mu_3 \rangle.$$

Then Proposition 4.22 implies that

$$\widetilde{E}_i^{*\max}\big(\mathsf{M}(\mu_1,\mu_2)\diamond\mathsf{M}(\mu_2,s_i\mu_3)\big)\simeq\mathsf{M}(\mu_1,\mu_2)\diamond\big(\widetilde{E}_i^{*\max}\mathsf{M}(\mu_2,s_i\mu_3)\big),$$

from which we obtain

$$\mathsf{M}(\mu_1,\mu_3)\simeq\mathsf{M}(\mu_1,\mu_2)\diamond\mathsf{M}(\mu_2,\mu_3).$$

Lemma 4.11 implies that $\widetilde{\Lambda}\big(\mathsf{M}(\mu_1,\mu_2),\mathsf{M}(\mu_2,\mu_3)\big)=0$. Hence we have

$$\Lambda\big(\mathsf{M}(\mu_1,\mu_2),\mathsf{M}(\mu_2,\mu_3)\big)=-\big(\mathrm{wt}(\mathsf{M}(\mu_1,\mu_2),\mathrm{wt}(\mathsf{M}(\mu_2,\mu_3))\big).$$

□

Proposition 4.29. *Let $i\in I$ and $x,y,z\in W$.*

(i) *If $\ell(xy)=\ell(x)+\ell(y)$, $zs_i>z$, $y>ys_i$, and $x\ge z$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{M}(xy\varpi_i,zs_i\varpi_i),\mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,z\varpi_i))\le 1.$$

(ii) *If $\ell(zy)=\ell(z)+\ell(y)$, $xs_i>z$, $xs_i\ge zy$ and $x\ge z$, then we have*

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i,zy\varpi_i),\mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,z\varpi_i))\le 1.$$

Proof. In the course of proof, we omit $\overline{\iota}^{-1}_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}$ for the sake of simplicity. Set $y':=ys_i<y$.

Let us show (i). By Proposition 3.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(y\varpi_i,s_i\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i,\varpi_i)=q^{-1}G^{\mathrm{up}}&\left((u_{y\varpi_i}\otimes u_{\varpi_i})\otimes(u_{s_i\varpi_i}\otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right)\\&+G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\iota}_\lambda^{-1}(\tilde{e}_i^*b)\otimes u_\lambda^{\mathrm{r}}),\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

where $\lambda=\varpi_i+s_i\varpi_i$ and $b=\overline{\iota}_{\varpi_i}(u_{y\varpi_i})\in B(\infty)$. Let S^*_z be the operator on $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by the multiplication of $e_{j_1}^{(a_1)}\cdots e_{j_t}^{(a_t)}$ from the right, where $z=s_{j_t}\cdots s_{j_1}$ is a reduced expression of z and $a_k=\langle h_{j_k},s_{j_{k-1}}\cdots s_{j_1}\lambda\rangle$. Then applying S^*_z to (4.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(y\varpi_i,zs_i\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i,z\varpi_i)=q^{-1}G^{\mathrm{up}}&\left((u_{y\varpi_i}\otimes u_{\varpi_i})\otimes(u_{zs_i\varpi_i}\otimes u_{z\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right)\\&+G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\iota}_\lambda^{-1}(\tilde{e}_i^*b)\otimes u_{z\lambda}^{\mathrm{r}}).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\mu\in\mathsf{P}$ is called *x-dominant* if $c_k\ge 0$. Here $x=s_{i_r}\cdots s_{i_1}$ is a reduced expression of x and $c_k:=\langle h_{i_k},s_{i_{k-1}}\cdots s_{i_1}\mu\rangle$ $(1\le k\le r)$. Recall that an element $v\in A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{l}}(v)=\mu$ is called *x-highest* if μ is x-dominant and

$$f_{i_k}^{1+c_k}f_{i_{k-1}}^{(c_{k-1})}\cdots f_{i_1}^{(c_1)}v=0\text{ for any }k\ (1\le k\le r).$$

If v is x-highest, then v is a linear combination of x-highest $G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$'s. Moreover, $S_{x,\mu}G^{\mathrm{up}}(b):=f_{i_r}^{(c_r)}\cdots f_{i_1}^{(c_1)}G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$ is either a member of the upper global basis or zero. Since $\Delta(y\varpi_i,zs_i\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i,z\varpi_i)$ is x-highest of weight $\mu:=\varpi_{ii}+\varpi_{ii}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(xy\varpi_i,zs_i\varpi_i)\Delta(x\varpi_i,z\varpi_i)=q^{-1}G^{\mathrm{up}}&\left((u_{xy\varpi_i}\otimes u_{x\varpi_i})\otimes(u_{zs_i\varpi_i}\otimes u_{z\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right)\\&+S_{x,\mu}G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\iota}_\lambda^{-1}(\tilde{e}_i^*b)\otimes u_{z\lambda}^{\mathrm{r}}).\end{aligned}$$

Applying $p_{\mathfrak{n}}$, we obtain

$$q^c\mathrm{D}(xy\varpi_i,zs_i\varpi_i)\mathrm{D}(x\varpi_i,z\varpi_i)=q^{-1}p_{\mathfrak{n}}G^{\mathrm{up}}\left((u_{xy\varpi_i}\otimes u_{x\varpi_i})\otimes(u_{zs_i\varpi_i}\otimes u_{z\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right)$$

$$+ p_{\mathfrak{n}} S_{x,\mu} G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\iota}_\lambda^{-1}(\tilde{e}_i^* b) \otimes u_{z\lambda}^{\mathrm{r}})$$

for some integer c. Hence we obtain (i) by Lemma 4.15.

(ii) is proved similarly. By applying φ^* to (4.17), we obtain

$$q^{(s_i\varpi_{ii},\varpi_{ii})-(y\varpi_{ii},\varpi_{ii})}\Delta(s_i\varpi_i, y\varpi_i)\Delta(\varpi_i,\varpi_i) = q^{-1}G^{\mathrm{up}}\left((u_{s_i\varpi_i}\otimes u_{\varpi_i})\otimes(u_{y\varpi_i}\otimes u_{\varpi_i})^{\mathrm{r}}\right) + G^{\mathrm{up}}(u_\lambda \otimes (\overline{\iota}_\lambda^{-1}\tilde{e}_i^* b)^{\mathrm{r}}).$$

Here we used Proposition 2.4. Then the similar arguments as above show (ii). ☐

Proposition 4.30. *Let $x \in W$ such that $xs_i > x$ and $x\varpi_i \neq \varpi_i$. Then we have*

$$\mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i, x\varpi_i), \mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,\varpi_i)) = 1.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.29 (ii), we have $\mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i, x\varpi_i), \mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,\varpi_i)) \leq 1$. Assuming $\mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i, x\varpi_i), \mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,\varpi_i)) = 0$, let us derive a contradiction.

By Theorem 4.28 and the assumption, we have

$$\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i, x\varpi_i) \circ \mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,\varpi_i) \simeq \mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i).$$

Hence we have

$$\varepsilon_j^*(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i)) = \varepsilon_j^*(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i, x\varpi_i)) + \varepsilon_j^*(\mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,\varpi_i))$$

for any $j \in I$. Since $xs_i\varpi_i \preceq x\varpi_i \preceq s_i\varpi_i$, Proposition 4.26 implies that

$$\varepsilon_j^*(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i)) = \varepsilon_j^*(\mathsf{M}(x\varpi_i,\varpi_i)) = \langle h_j, \varpi_i\rangle.$$

It implies that

$$\varepsilon_j^*(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i, x\varpi_i)) = 0 \quad \text{for any } j \in I.$$

It is a contradiction since $\mathrm{wt}\big(\mathsf{M}(xs_i\varpi_i, x\varpi_i)\big) = xs_i\varpi_i - x\varpi_i$ does not vanish. ☐

5. Quantum cluster algebras and monoidal categorifications

5.1. **Quantum cluster algebras.** In this subsection, we briefly recall the definition of a quantum cluster algebra following [2], [6, §8] and [10, §4.1].

Let us fix a finite index set J with a decomposition $J = J_{\mathrm{ex}} \sqcup J_{\mathrm{fr}}$ into the set J_{ex} of *exchangeable indices* and the set J_{fr} of *frozen indices*. Let $L = (\lambda_{i,j})_{i,j\in J}$ be a skew-symmetric integer-valued $J \times J$-matrix. We denote by $\mathscr{P}(L)$ the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-algebra generated by $\{X_i\}_{i\in J}$ subject to the following defining relations:

$$X_iX_j = q^{\lambda_{i,j}}X_jX_i \quad (i,j \in J). \tag{5.1}$$

For $\mathbf{a} := (a_i)_{i\in J} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J$, we define the element $X^{\mathbf{a}}$ of $\mathscr{P}(L)$ as

$$X^{\mathbf{a}} := q^{1/2\sum_{i>j} a_ia_j\lambda_{i,j}} \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i\in J} X_i^{a_i}$$

where $<$ is a total order on J and $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i\in J} X_i^{a_i} = X_{i_1}^{a_1}\cdots X_{a_r}^{i_r}$ with $J=\{i_1,\ldots,i_r\}$ such that $i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r$. Note that $X^{\mathbf{a}}$ does not depend on the choice of a total order on J. We have

$$X^{\mathbf{a}}X^{\mathbf{b}} = q^{1/2\sum_{i,j\in J} a_i b_j \lambda_{i,j}} X^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}} \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^J. \tag{5.2}$$

It is well-known that $\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}^J\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-basis of $\mathscr{P}(L)$.

For a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-algebra A, we say that a family of elements $\{x_i\}_{i\in J}$ of A is *L-commuting* if it satisfies the relation (5.1), i.e., $x_ix_j = q^{\lambda_{i,j}}x_jx_i$.

Let $\widetilde{B}=(b_{i,j})$ be an integer-valued $J\times J_{\rm ex}$ matrix whose *principal part* $B{:=}(b_{i,j})_{i,j\in J_{\rm ex}}$ is skew-symmetric. To the matrix $\widetilde{B}$ we can associate the quiver $Q_{\widetilde{B}}$ without loops, 2-cycles and arrows between frozen vertices such that its vertices are labeled by J and the arrows are given by

$$b_{i,j} = (\text{the number of arrow from } i \text{ to } j) - (\text{the number of arrow from } j \text{ to } i). \tag{5.3}$$

Here we extend the $J\times J_{\rm ex}$-matrix $\widetilde{B}$ to the skew-symmetric $J\times J$-matrix $\widetilde{B}'=(b_{i,j})_{i,j\in J}$ by setting $b_{i,j}=0$ for $i,j\in J_{\rm fr}$.

Conversely, whenever we have a quiver with vertices labeled by J and without loops, 2-cycles and arrows between frozen vertices, we can associate a $J\times J_{\rm ex}$-matrix $\widetilde{B}$ by (5.3).

We say that the pair of matrices $(L,\widetilde{B})$ is *compatible* if there exists a positive integer d such that

$$\sum_{k\in J}\lambda_{i,k}b_{k,j} = \delta_{i,j}d, \quad (i\in J,\ j\in J_{\rm ex}). \tag{5.4}$$

For a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-algebra A and a compatible pair $(L,\widetilde{B})$, we say that the datum $\mathscr{S}=(\{x_i\}_{i\in J},L,\widetilde{B})$ is a *quantum seed* if $\{x_i\}_{i\in J}$ is an algebraically independent L-commuting family of elements in A.

The set $\{x_i\}_{i\in J}$ is called a *cluster* of $\mathscr{S}$ and its elements are called *cluster variables*. In particular, the elements in $\{x_i\}_{i\in J_{\rm fr}}$ are called *frozen variables*. The elements in $\{x^{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}^r\}$ are called *cluster monomials*.

See [10] for the definition of

$$\mu_k(\mathscr{S}) := \big(\{\mu_k(x)_i\}_{i\in J}, \mu_k(L), \mu_k(\widetilde{B})\big), \tag{5.5}$$

the *mutation of $\mathscr{S}$ in direction $k\in J_{\rm ex}$*.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathscr{S}=(\{x_i\}_{i\in J},L,\widetilde{B})$ be a quantum seed in a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-algebra A which is contained a skew field K. The *quantum cluster algebra* $\mathscr{A}_{q^{1/2}}(\mathscr{S})$ associated with the quantum seed $\mathscr{S}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-subalgebra of the skew field K generated by all the quantum cluster variables in the quantum seeds obtained from $\mathscr{S}$ by any sequence of *mutations*.

We call $\mathscr{S}$ the *initial quantum seed* of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{q^{1/2}}(\mathscr{S})$.

5.2. **Monoidal categorifications of quantum cluster algebras.** In this subsection, we shall review monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras. In this paper, we shall restrict ourselves to the case when monoidal categories are full subcategories of R-gmod. Here R-gmod is the category of finite-dimensional graded modules over a symmetric KLR algebra R with the base field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. We refer to [9] in a more general setting.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full subcategory of R-gmod stable by taking convolution products, subquotients, extensions and grading shifts. Hence $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-linear abelian monoidal category with the decomposition

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathsf{Q}^-} \mathcal{C}_\beta \quad \text{with } \mathcal{C}_\beta := \mathcal{C} \cap R(-\beta)\text{-gmod}.$$

Definition 5.2. We call a quadruple $\mathscr{S} = (\{M_i\}_{i\in J}, L, \widetilde{B}, D)$ a *quantum monoidal seed in* $\mathcal{C}$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\widetilde{B} = (b_{i,j})$ is an integer-valued $J \times J_{\mathrm{ex}}$-matrix whose principal part is skew-symmetric.
(ii) $L = (\lambda_{i,j})$ is an integer-valued skew-symmetric $J \times J$-matrix.
(iii) $D = \{d_i\}_{i\in J}$ is a family of elements in Q.
(iv) $\{M_i\}_{i\in J}$ is a family of simple objects such that $M_i \in \mathcal{C}_{d_i}$ for $i \in J$.
(v) $M_i \circ M_j \simeq q^{\lambda_{i,j}} M_j \circ M_i$ for all $i, j \in J$.
(vi) $M_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ M_{i_t}$ is simple for every sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_t)$ in J.
(vii) The pair $(L, \widetilde{B})$ is compatible in the sense of (5.4) with $d = 2$.
(viii) $\lambda_{i,j} - (d_i, d_j) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i, j \in J$.
(ix) $\displaystyle\sum_{i\in J} b_{i,k} d_i = 0$ for all $k \in J_{\mathrm{ex}}$.

By (vi), every M_i is real simple. The integer $\lambda_{i,j}$ in (ii) is given by $-\Lambda(M_i, M_j)$. Note that (viii) is redundant since it follows from $\widetilde{\Lambda}(M_i, M_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a $J \times J_{\mathrm{ex}}$-matrix $\widetilde{B}$ with skew-symmetric principal part and $D = \{d_i\}_{i\in J}$, we define the *mutation* $\mu_k(D) \in \mathsf{Q}^J$ *of* D *in direction* k *with respect to* $\widetilde{B}$ by

$$\mu_k(D)_i = d_i \ (i \neq k), \quad \mu_k(D)_k = -d_k + \sum_{b_{i,k}>0} b_{i,k} d_i.$$

Note that

- $\mu_k(\mu_k(D)) = D \quad (\text{for } k \in J_{\mathrm{ex}})$,
- $\bigl(\mu_k(L), \mu_k(\widetilde{B}), \mu_k(D)\bigr)$ satisfies the conditions (viii) and (ix) for any $k \in J_{\mathrm{ex}}$.

Definition 5.3 ([10, Definition 5.6])**.** For $k \in J_{\mathrm{ex}}$, we say that a quantum monoidal seed $\mathscr{S} = (\{M_i\}_{i\in J}, L, \widetilde{B}, D)$ *admits a mutation in direction* k if there exists a simple object $M_k' \in \mathcal{C}_{\mu_k(D)_k}$ such that

(i) there exist exact sequences in $\mathcal{C}$

$$0 \to q \bigodot_{b_{i,k}>0} M_i^{\odot b_{i,k}} \to q^{m_k} M_k \circ M_k' \to \bigodot_{b_{i,k}<0} M_i^{\odot(-b_{i,k})} \to 0, \tag{5.6}$$

$$0 \to q \bigodot_{b_{i,k}<0} M_i^{\odot(-b_{i,k})} \to q^{m'_k} M'_k \circ M_k \to \bigodot_{b_{i,k}>0} M_i^{\odot b_{i,k}} \to 0, \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$m_k = \frac{1}{2}(d_k, \xi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b_{i,k}<0} \lambda_{k,i} b_{i,k} \quad \text{and} \quad m'_k = \frac{1}{2}(d_k, \xi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b_{i,k}>0} \lambda_{k,i} b_{i,k} \tag{5.8}$$

with $\xi = -d_k + \sum_{b_{i,k}>0} b_{i,k} d_i$.

(ii) the quadruple $\mu_k(\mathscr{S}) := (\{M_i\}_{i \neq k} \cup \{M'_k\}, \mu_k(L), \mu_k(\widetilde{B}), \mu_k(D))$ is a quantum monoidal seed in $\mathcal{C}$.

We call $\mu_k(\mathscr{S})$ the *mutation* of $\mathscr{S}$ in direction k.

Definition 5.4 ([10, Definition 5.8])**.** The category $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *monoidal categorification of a quantum cluster algebra A over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$ if*

(i) *the Grothendieck ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]} K(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to A,*

(ii) *there exists a quantum monoidal seed $\mathscr{S} = (\{M_i\}_{i \in J}, L, \widetilde{B}, D)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $[\mathscr{S}] := (\{q^{-(d_i,d_i)/4}[M_i]\}_{i \in J}, L, \widetilde{B})$ is the image of a quantum seed of A by the isomorphism in* (i)*.*

(iii) *$\mathscr{S}$ admits successive mutations in all directions,*

Note that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal categorification of A, then any quantum seed in A obtained by mutations from the initial quantum seed is of the form $(\{q^{-(d_i,d_i)/4}[M_i]\}_{i \in J}, L, \widetilde{B})$ for some monoidal seed $(\{M_i\}_{i \in J}, L, \widetilde{B}, D)$. Thus any quantum cluster monomial in A coincides with $q^{-(\mathrm{wt}(S),\mathrm{wt}(S))/4}[S]$ for some real simple module S in $\mathcal{C}$.

5.3. **Main result of** [10]**.** In this subsection, we briefly recall the main result of [10].

Definition 5.5 ([10, Definition 6.1])**.** A pair $(\{M_i\}_{i \in J}, \widetilde{B})$ is called *admissible* if

(1) $\{M_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a commuting family of real simple self-dual objects of $\mathcal{C}$,

(2) $\widetilde{B}$ is an integer-valued $J \times J_{\mathrm{ex}}$-matrix with skew-symmetric principal part, and

(3) for each $k \in J$, there exists a self-dual simple object M'_k in $\mathcal{C}$ such that there is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}$

$$0 \to q \bigodot_{b_{i,k}>0} M_i^{\odot b_{i,k}} \to q^{\widetilde{\Lambda}(M_k, M'_k)} M_k \circ M'_k \to \bigodot_{b_{i,k}<0} M_i^{\odot(-b_{i,k})} \to 0, \tag{5.9}$$

and M'_k commutes with M_i for all $i \neq k \in J$.

For an admissible pair $(\{M_i\}_{i \in J}, \widetilde{B})$, let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_{i,j})_{i,j \in J}$ be the skew-symmetric matrix given by $\Lambda_{i,j} = \Lambda(M_i, M_j)$. and let $D = \{d_i\}_{i \in J}$ be the family of elements of Q^- given by $d_i = \mathrm{wt}(M_i)$.

Theorem 5.6 ([10, Theorem 6.3, Corollary 6.4])**.** *Let $\mathscr{S} = (\{M_i\}_{i \in J}, -\Lambda, \widetilde{B}, D)$ be a quantum monoidal seed. We assume the following:*

The $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})$-algebra $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]}{\otimes} K(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]}{\otimes} \mathscr{A}_{q^{1/2}}([\mathscr{S}])$.

If the pair $(\{M_i\}_{i\in J}, \widetilde{B})$ *is admissible, then* $\mathscr{S}$ *admits successive mutations in all directions and the category* $\mathcal{C}$ *is a monoidal categorification of* $\mathscr{A}_{q^{1/2}}([\mathscr{S}])$.

6. MONOIDAL CATEGORIFICATION OF $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$

6.1. **Quantum cluster algebra structure on $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$.** In this subsection, we shall consider the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with a symmetric Cartan matrix $\mathsf{A} = (a_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$. We shall recall briefly the definition of the subalgebra $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and its quantum cluster algebra structure by using the results of [6] and [18]. Remark that we bring the results in [6] through the isomorphism in (2.7).

For a given $w \in W$, fix a reduced expression $\widetilde{w} = s_{i_r}\cdots s_{i_1}$. For $s \in \{1,\dots,r\}$ and $j \in I$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} s_+ &:= \min(\{k \mid s < k \le r,\ i_k = i_s\} \cup \{r+1\}), \\ s_- &:= \max(\{k \mid 1 \le s < k,\ i_k = i_s\} \cup \{0\}), \\ s^-(j) &:= \max(\{k \mid 1 \le k < s,\ i_k = j\} \cup \{0\}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

For $1 \le k \le r$, set

$$\lambda_k := u_k\varpi_{i_k} \text{ where } u_k := s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_k}. \tag{6.2}$$

Note that $\lambda_{k_-} = u_{k-1}\varpi_{i_k}$. For $0 \le t \le s \le r$, we set

$$\mathrm{D}(s,t) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{D}(\lambda_s,\lambda_t) & \text{if } 0 < t, \\ \mathrm{D}(\lambda_s,\varpi_{i_s}) & \text{if } 0 = t < s \le r, \\ \mathbf{1} & \text{if } t = s = 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

The $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-subalgebra of $A_q(\mathfrak{n})$ generated by $\mathrm{D}(i,i_-)$ $(1 \le i \le r)$ is independent of the choice of a reduced expression of w. We denote it by $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. Then every $\mathrm{D}(s,t)$ $(0 \le t \le s \le r)$ is contained in $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ ([6, Corollary 12.4]). The set $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}\big(A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))\big) := \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}\big(A_q(\mathfrak{g})\big) \cap A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ is a basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space ([18, Theorem 4.2.5]). We call it the upper global basis of $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. We denote by $A_q(\mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$ the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]$-module generated by $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}\big(A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. Then it is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]$-subalgebra of $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ ([18, § 4.7.2]). We set $A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w)) := \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$.

We set $J = \{1,\dots,r\}$, $J_{\mathrm{fr}} := \{k \in J \mid k_+ = r+1\}$ and $J_{\mathrm{fr}} := J \setminus J_{\mathrm{fr}}$.

Definition 6.1. We define the quiver Q with the set of vertices Q_0 and the set of arrows Q_1 as follows:

(Q_0) $Q_0 = J = \{1,\dots,r\}$,
(Q_1) There are two types of arrows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\textit{ordinary arrows} && : \quad s \xrightarrow{|a_{i_s,i_t}|} t \quad \text{if } 1 \le s < t < s_+ < t_+ \le r+1, \\ &\textit{horizontal arrows} && : \quad s \longrightarrow s_- \quad \text{if } 1 \le s_- < s \le r. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\widetilde{B} = (b_{i,j})$ be the integer-valued $J \times J_{\mathrm{ex}}$-matrix associated to the quiver Q by (5.3).

Lemma 6.2. *Assume that* $0 \le d \le b \le a \le c \le r$ *and*

- $i_b = i_a$ *if* $b \ne 0$,
- $i_d = i_c$ *if* $d \ne 0$.

Then $\mathrm{D}(a,b)$ *and* $\mathrm{D}(c,d)$ *q-commute; that is, there exists* $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{D}(a,b)\mathrm{D}(c,d) = q^{\lambda}\mathrm{D}(c,d)\mathrm{D}(a,b).$$

Proof. We may assume $a > 0$. Let u_k be as in (6.2). Take $s' = u_a$, $s = u_a^{-1}u_c$, $t' = u_d$ and $t = u_d^{-1}u_b$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{D}(s'\varpi_{i_a}, t't\varpi_{i_a}) = \mathrm{D}(a,b) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{D}(s's\varpi_{i_c}, t'\varpi_{i_c}) = \mathrm{D}(c,d).$$

From Proposition 3.6, our assertion follows. □

Hence we have an integer-valued skew-symmetric matrix $L = (\lambda_{i,j})_{1\le i,j\le r}$ such that

$$\mathrm{D}(i,0)\mathrm{D}(j,0) = q^{\lambda_{i,j}}\mathrm{D}(j,0)\mathrm{D}(i,0).$$

Proposition 6.3 ([6, Proposition 10.1])**.** *The pair* $(L, \widetilde{B})$ *is compatible with* $d = 2$ *in* (5.4).

Theorem 6.4 ([6, Theorem 12.3])**.** *Let* $\mathscr{A}_{q^{1/2}}([\mathscr{S}])$ *be the quantum cluster algebra associated to the initial quantum seed* $[\mathscr{S}] := (\{q^{-(d_s,d_s)/4}\mathrm{D}(s,0)\}_{1\le s\le r}, L, \widetilde{B})$*. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]} \mathscr{A}_{q^{1/2}}([\mathscr{S}]) \simeq A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w)),$$

where $d_s := \lambda_s - \varpi_{i_s} = \mathrm{wt}(D(s,0))$ *and* $A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w)) := \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$.

6.2. **Admissible seeds in the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}_w$.** For $0 \le t \le s \le r$, we set $\mathsf{M}(s,t) = \mathsf{M}(\lambda_s, \lambda_t)$. It is a real simple module with $\mathrm{ch}(\mathsf{M}(s,t)) = D(s,t)$.

Definition 6.5. For $w \in W$, let $\mathcal{C}_w$ be the smallest monoidal abelian full subcategory of R-gmod satisfying the following properties:

(a) It is stable under the subquotients, extensions and grading shifts.
(b) It contains $\mathsf{M}(s, s_-)$ for all $1 \le s \le \ell(w)$.

Then by [6], $M \in R$-gmod belongs to $\mathcal{C}_w$ if and only if $\mathrm{ch}(M)$ belongs to $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$. Hence we have an $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]$-algebra isomorphism

$$K(\mathcal{C}_w) \simeq A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}.$$

We set

$$\Lambda := (\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(i,0), \mathsf{M}(j,0)))_{1\le i,j\le r} \quad \text{and} \quad D = (d_i)_{1\le i\le r} := (\mathrm{wt}(\mathsf{M}(i,0)))_{1\le i\le r}.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.25, $\mathscr{S} := (\{\mathsf{M}(k,0)\}_{1\le k\le r}, -\Lambda, \widetilde{B}, D)$ is a quantum monoidal seed in $\mathcal{C}_w$. We are now ready to state the main theorem in this subsection:

Theorem 6.6. *The pair* $\big(\{\mathsf{M}(k,0)\}_{1\le k\le r}, \widetilde{B}\big)$ *is admissible.*

As we already explained, this theorem implies Theorem 2 in Introduction.

Theorem 6.7. *The category $\mathcal{C}_w$ is a monoidal categorification of the quantum cluster algebra $A_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{n}(w))$.*

In the course of the proof of Theorem 6.6, *we omit grading shifts* if there is no afraid of confusion.

We shall start the proof of Theorem 6.6 by proving that, for each $s \in J_{\mathrm{ex}}$, there exists a simple module X such that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text{(a) there exists a surjective homomorphism (up to a grading shift)} \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad X \circ \mathsf{M}(s,0) \twoheadrightarrow \mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;\, b_{t,s}>0} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ b_{t,s}}, \\ \text{(b) there exists a surjective homomorphism (up to a grading shift)} \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \mathsf{M}(s,0) \circ X \twoheadrightarrow \mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;\ \ b_{t,s}<0} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ -b_{t,s}}, \\ \text{(c) } \mathfrak{d}(X, \mathsf{M}(s,0)) = 1. \end{array}\right. \tag{6.4}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} &x := i_s \in I, \\ &I_s := \{i_k \mid s < k < s_+\} \subset I \setminus \{x\}, \\ &A := \mathop{\circ}\limits_{t<s<t_+<s_+} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ |a_{i_s,i_t}|} = \mathop{\circ}\limits_{y \in I_s} \mathsf{M}(s^-(y),0)^{\circ |a_{x,y}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Then A is a real simple module.

Now we claim that the following simple module X satisfies the conditions in (6.4):

$$X := \mathsf{M}(s_+, s) \diamond A.$$

Let us show (6.4) (a). The incoming arrows to s are

- $t \xrightarrow{|a_{x,i_t}|} s$ for $1 \le t < s < t_+ < s_+$,
- $s_+ \longrightarrow s$.

Hence we have

$$\mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;\, b_{t,s}>0} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ b_{t,s}} \simeq A \circ M(s_+,0).$$

Then the morphism in (a) is obtained as the composition:

$$X \circ \mathsf{M}(s,0) \rightarrowtail A \circ \mathsf{M}(s_+,s) \circ \mathsf{M}(s,0) \twoheadrightarrow A \circ \mathsf{M}(s_+,0). \tag{6.5}$$

Here the second epimorphism is given in Theorem 4.28, and [9, Corollary 3.11] asserts that the composition (6.5) is non-zero and hence an epimorphism.

Let us show (6.4) (b). The outgoing arrows from s are

- $s \xrightarrow{|a_{x,i_t}|} t$ for $s < t < s_+ < t_+ \le r+1$.
- $s \longrightarrow s_-$ if $s_- > 0$.

Hence we have

$$\mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;b_{t,s}<0} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ -b_{t,s}} \simeq \mathsf{M}(s_-,0)\circ\left(\mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I_s} \mathsf{M}((s_+)^-(y),0)^{\circ -a_{x,y}}\right). \tag{6.6}$$

Lemma 6.8. *There exists an epimorphism (up to a grading)*

$$\Omega : \mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\circ A \twoheadrightarrow \mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;b_{t,s}<0} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ -b_{t,s}}. \tag{6.7}$$

Proof. By the dual of Theorem 4.28 and the T-system (4.16) with $i = i_s$, $u = u_{s_+-1}$ and $v = u_{s-1}$, we have morphisms

$$\mathsf{M}(s,0) \rightarrowtail \mathsf{M}(s_-,0)\circ\mathsf{M}(s,s_-), \tag{6.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{M}(s,s_-)\circ\mathsf{M}(s_+,s) &\twoheadrightarrow \mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I\setminus\{x\}} \mathsf{M}((s_+)^-(y),s^-(y))^{\circ -a_{x,y}} \\ &\simeq \mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I_s} \mathsf{M}((s_+)^-(y),s^-(y))^{\circ -a_{x,y}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Here the last isomorphism follows from the fact that $(s_+)^-(y) = s^-(y)$ for any $y \notin \{x\}\cup I_s = \{i_k \mid s \le k < s_+\}$.

Thus we have a sequence of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\circ A &\overset{\varphi_1}{\rightarrowtail} \mathsf{M}(s_-,0)\circ\mathsf{M}(s,s_-)\circ\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\circ A \\ &\overset{\varphi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathsf{M}(s_-,0)\circ\left(\mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I_s} \mathsf{M}((s_+)^-(y),s^-(y))^{\circ -a_{x,y}}\right)\circ A. \end{aligned}$$

By [9, Corollary 3.11], the composition $\varphi := \varphi_2\circ\varphi_1$ is non-zero.

Since $A = \mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I_s} \mathsf{M}(s^-(y),0)^{\circ -a_{x,y}}$, Theorem 4.28 gives the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\circ A &\xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathsf{M}(s_-,0)\circ\left(\mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I_s} \mathsf{M}((s_+)^-(y),s^-(y))^{\circ -a_{x,y}}\right)\circ A \\ &\overset{\phi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathsf{M}(s_-,0)\circ\left(\mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I_s} \mathsf{M}((s_+)^-(y),0)^{\circ -a_{x,y}}\right) \simeq \mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;b_{t,s}<0} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ -b_{t,s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Lemma 4.12 to obtain the morphism ϕ. Note that the module $\mathop{\circ}\limits_{y\in I_s} \mathsf{M}((s_+)^-(y),s^-(y))^{\circ -a_{x,y}}$ is simple. By applying [9, Corollary 3.11] once again, $\phi\circ\varphi$ is non-zero, and hence it is an epimorphism. ☐

Lemma 6.9. *We have* $\mathfrak{d}(X,\mathsf{M}(s,0)) = 1$.

Proof. Since A and $\mathsf{M}(s,0)$ commute and $\mathfrak{d}\big(\mathsf{M}(s_+,s),\mathsf{M}(s,0)\big) = 1$ by Proposition 4.30, we have

$$\mathfrak{d}\big(X,\mathsf{M}(s,0)\big) \le \mathfrak{d}\big(\mathsf{M}(s_+,s),\mathsf{M}(s,0)\big) + \mathfrak{d}\big(A,\mathsf{M}(s,0)\big) \le 1,$$

by [10, Corollary 2.17] and Lemma 4.8. If X and $\mathsf{M}(s,0)$ commute, then (6.4) (a) would imply that $\mathrm{ch}\left(\mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;b_{st}<0} \mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ -b_{st}}\right)$ belongs to $K(R\text{-gmod})\,\mathrm{ch}(\mathsf{M}(s,0))$. It contradicts the result in [7] that all the $\mathrm{ch}(\mathsf{M}(k,0))$'s are prime at $q=1$. ☐

Proposition 6.10. *The map Φ factors through $\mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ X$; that is,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\circ A \arrow[rr, two heads, "\Omega"] \arrow[dr, two heads, "\tau"] & & \mathop{\circ}\limits_{t;b_{t,s}<0}\mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\circ -b_{t,s}}. \\
& \mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ X \arrow[ur, two heads, "\overline{\Omega}"] &
\end{tikzcd}\tag{6.10}$$

Here τ is the canonical surjection.

Proof. We have $1=\mathfrak{d}\big(\mathsf{M}(s,0),\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\diamond A\big)$ by Lemma 6.9, and

$$\mathfrak{d}\big(\mathsf{M}(s,0),\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\big)+\mathfrak{d}\big(\mathsf{M}(s,0),A\big)=1$$

by Proposition 4.30 with $x=u_{s_+-1},\ i=i_s$. Hence $\mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ\mathsf{M}(s_+,s)\circ A$ has a simple head by Proposition 4.13 (iii). □

End of the proof of Theorem 6.6. By the arguments above, we have proved the existence of X which satisfies (6.4). By Proposition 4.14 and (6.4) (c), $\mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ X$ has composition length 2. Moreover, it has a simple socle and simple head. On the other hand, taking the dual of (6.4) (a), we obtain a monomorphism

$$\mathop{\bigodot}\limits_{t;b_{t,s}>0}\mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\odot b_{t,s}}\rightarrowtail\mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ X$$

in R-mod. Together with (6.4) (b), there exists a short exact sequence in R-gmod:

$$0\to q^c\mathop{\bigodot}\limits_{t;b_{t,s}>0}\mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\odot b_{t,s}}\to q^{\widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathsf{M}(s,0),X)}\mathsf{M}(s,0)\circ X\to\mathop{\bigodot}\limits_{t;b_{t,s}<0}\mathsf{M}(t,0)^{\odot(-b_{t,s})}\to 0,$$

for some $c\in\mathbb{Z}$. By [10, Corollary 2.24], c must be equal to 1.

It remains to prove that X commutes with $\mathsf{M}(k,0)$ $(k\neq s)$. For any $k\in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),X)&=\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(s,0)\diamond X)-\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(s,0))\\&=\sum_{t;\ b_{t,s}<0}\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(t,0))(-b_{t,s})-\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(s,0))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(X,\mathsf{M}(k,0))&=\Lambda(X\diamond\mathsf{M}(s,0),\mathsf{M}(k,0))-\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(s,0),\mathsf{M}(k,0))\\&=\sum_{t;\ b_{t,s}>0}\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(t,0),\mathsf{M}(k,0))b_{t,s}-\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(s,0),\mathsf{M}(k,0)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}2\,\mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{M}(k,0),X)=-2\,\mathfrak{d}(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(s,0))&-\sum_{t;\ b_{t,s}<0}\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(t,0))b_{t,s}\\&-\sum_{t;\ b_{t,s}>0}\Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(t,0))b_{t,s}\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\sum_{1\le t\le r} \Lambda(\mathsf{M}(k,0),\mathsf{M}(t,0))b_{t,s}$$
$$= 2\delta_{k,s},$$

We conclude that X commutes with $M(k,0)$ if $k \neq s$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 6.6. ☐

As a corollary we obtain the following answer to the conjecture on the cluster monomials.

Theorem 6.11. Conjecture 1 *in* Introduction *is true, i.e., every cluster variable in* $A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))$ *is a member of the upper global basis.*

Theorem 6.6 also implies [6, Conjecture 12.7] in the refined form as follows:

Corollary 6.12. $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}$ *has a quantum cluster algebra structure associated with the initial quantum seed* $[\mathscr{S}] = (\{q^{-(d_i,d_i)/4}\mathrm{D}(i,0)\}_{1\le i\le r}, L, \widetilde{B})$*; i.e.,*

$$\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}] \underset{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]}{\otimes} A_q(\mathfrak{n}(w))_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]} \simeq \mathscr{A}_{q^{1/2}}([\mathscr{S}]).$$

REFERENCES

1. A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *String bases for quantum groups of type A_r*, I. M. Gel'fand Seminar, 51–89, Adv. Soviet Math. **16**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
2. ______, *Quantum cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), 405–455.
3. S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Double Bruhat cells and total positivity*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **12**(2) (1999), 335–380.
4. ______, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15**(2) (2002), 497-529
5. C. Geiß, B. Leclerc and J. Schröer, *Semicanonical bases and preprojective algebras*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **38** (2005), no. 2, 193–253.
6. ______, *Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), no. 2, 337–397.
7. ______, *Factorial cluster algebras*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013), 249–274.
8. S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and M. Kim, *Symmetric quiver Hecke algebras and R-matrices of quantum affine algebras*, arXiv:1304.0323v1.
9. S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim and S.-j. Oh, *Simplicity of heads and socles of tensor products*, arXiv:1404.4125v2, to appear in Compos. Math.
10. ______, *Monoidal categorification of cluster algebras*, arXiv:1412.8106v1.
11. M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
12. ______, *Global bases of quantum groups*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 455–485.
13. ______, *Crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993) 839–858.
14. ______, *Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 2, 383–413.
15. ______, *On crystal bases*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), 155–197, CMS Conf. Proc., 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
16. M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

17. ______, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2685–2700.
18. Y. Kimura, *Quantum unipotent subgroup and dual canonical basis*, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012), no. 2, 277–331.
19. Y. Kimura, F. Qin, *Graded quiver varieties, quantum cluster algebras and dual canonical basis*, Adv. in Math. **262** (2014) 261–312.
20. A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi and J. Suzuki, *T-systems and Y-systems in integrable systems*, J. Phys. A **44**, (2011) 103001, 146 pp.
21. P. Lampe, *A quantum cluster algebra of Kronecker type and the dual canonical basis*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2011), no. 13, 2970–3005.
22. ______ *Quantum cluster algebras of type A and the dual canonical basis*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **108** (2014) 1–43.
23. B. Leclerc, *Imaginary vectors in the dual canonical basis of* $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$, Transform. Groups **8** (2003), no. 1, 95–104.
24. G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases in tensor products*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **89** (1992) 8177–8179.
25. ______, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Mathematics, **110**, Birkhäuser Boston (1993), 341 pp.
26. A. Lauda and M. Vazirani, *Crystals from categorified quantum groups*, Adv. Math., **228** (2011), 803-861.
27. H. Nakajima, *Cluster algebras and singular supports of perverse sheaves*, Advances in representation theory of algebras, 211–230, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2013.
28. R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023v1.
29. ______, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (2012), no. 2, 359–410.
30. M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. **659** (2011), 67–100.

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `sjkang@math.snu.ac.kr`

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan, & Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: `mhkim@kias.re.kr`

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `sj092@snu.ac.kr`